BERMAN O'CONNOR & MANN Suite 503, Bank of Guam Bldg. 2 111 Chalan Santo Papa Hagåtña, Guam 96910 3 Telephone No.: (671) 477-2778 Facsimile No.: (671) 477-4366 4 Attorneys for Appellant: 5 PACIFIC DATA SYSTEMS, INC. 6 7 8 9 In the Appeal of 10 PACIFIC DATA SYSTEMS, INC., 11 Appellant. 12 13

NUV 2 0 2011

\$ 20an J.U. 88.50. 10-005

OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY PROCUREMENT APPEALS

Docket No. OPA-PA-10-005

REPLY OF PACIFIC DATA SYSTEMS, INC. TO RESPONSE OF GUAM COMMUNITY COLLEGE

I. INTRODUCTION

On August 2, 2011, Pacific Data Systems, Inc. ("PDS") filed its Motion to Enforce Public Auditor's Decision Regarding Award of Costs. When no response from Guam Community College ("GCC") was received to that Motion, PDS filed a follow-up Motion for Entry of Award Against Guam Community College on September 8, 2011. Finally, on September 13, 2011, GCC filed its Response.

II. ARGUMENT

The position of PDS is set forth in its Motion filed on August 2, 2011. As discussed in that Motion, the Public Auditor in her Decision of January 12, 2011, awarded PDS its "... reasonable costs incurred in connection with the solicitation and its August 3, 2010 protest, including PDS's reasonable bid preparation costs, excluding PDS's attorney's fees ..." The Decision further provided that "... GCC may object to PDS's cost demand by filing the appropriate motion with the Public Auditor no later

27

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

than fifteen (15) days after PDS submits such cost demand to GCC." See Decision at p. 20.

As set forth in PDS' August 2, 2011 Motion, PDS did submit its cost demand to GCC on May 27, 2011. However, GCC did not file any objection to that cost demand with the Public Auditor. Instead, 40 days later on July 6, 2011, the attorney for GCC wrote a letter to John Day of PDS which stated certain objections to the cost demand.

John Day then responded with his letter to GCC's attorney dated July 12, 2011, where he did attest to the accuracy of the PDS cost demand, and pointed out that the fifteen day period for GCC to object to the cost demand by filing a Motion with the Public Auditor had expired. The subsequent failure of GCC to make any payment regarding the cost demand led to the filing of the PDS Motion of August 2, 2011, and follow-up Motion of September 8, 2011.

The GCC Response in this matter dated September 13, 2011 should be stricken. The Public Auditor's Decision required any objection of GCC to the PDS cost demand to be in the form of a Motion filed with the Public Auditor no later than fifteen days after the cost demand. Since the cost demand was made by PDS on May 27, 2011, any objection by GCC was due to be filed with the Public Auditor no later than June 13, 2011. GCC has entirely failed to follow the procedure required by the Decision of January 12, 2011, and the Public Auditor is requested to order GCC to pay PDS the amount owed immediately.

Although GCC's untimely objections to the PDS cost demand should not be considered at this time, nevertheless PDS does want to state for the record that its cost demand is reasonable. For example, GCC objects to the rate of pay that PDS defined for various administrative and document handling functions, claiming that these tasks should have been performed at minimum wage. The Full Time Equivalent (FTE) costs shown by PDS for these tasks is in line with Department of Labor guidelines established for companies performing work for the Government of Guam. GCC should be well HtChristine\BRM\Day\Appeal re GCC\Reply re Mtn Entry Award.doc

1 2

familiar with these guidelines since a copy was included with the GCC procurement. Attached as EXHIBIT A is a listing of minimum hourly pay rates (before benefits) for various work functions. We have highlighted the rates related to administrative tasks that PDS has claimed in order to provide validation of the reasonableness of the PDS claim. PDS also notes that this dispute by GCC relates to only 9 hours of the PDS claim.

GCC also questions the amount of time spent by PDS in the bid preparation and Bid response. GCC seems to ignore the fact that it was the comprehensive nature of the GCC specifications that required the time spent by PDS to analyze and prepare a compliant response. This work was not performed by any one person, but was instead performed by a team of highly skilled professionals. Each member of this team is identified in the PDS cost claim worksheet. A review of the GCC Bid specifications, the numerous bidder questions and GCC responses, bid amendments, and the final comprehensive PDS bid response (which was rated as the best by GCC) clearly show that the time spent by PDS as documented in the PDS claim are reasonable.

PDS does request that due to the lengthy delay caused by GCC, interest at 6% be added to the requested amount of \$21,299.17 from 15 days after the date of the original cost demand on May 27, 2011, or June 13, 2011, to the date of decision in this matter.

DATED this day of November, 2011.

Respectfully submitted,

BERMAN O'CONNOR & MANN

Attorneys for PACIFIC DATA SYSTEMS, INC.

: tell II II

RILL R MANN

```
Shirley F. Embeson Division of Revision No.: 2505-2147
Director Maga Determinations Oata Of Revision: 08/19/2018
States: Guam, Northern Marianes, Make Island
```

Atas: Guso Statowide Northern Maxismas Statewide Wake Island Statewide

"Frings Banefits Required Follow the Occupational Listing"	
TUPATION CODE - TITLE COTHOIS	PATE
800 - Administrative Support And Clerical Occupations	
01011 - Accounting Clark 1	12.50
01012 - Accounting Clerk II	13,53
01013 - Accounting Clark III	15.59
01020 - Administrative Assistant	17.67
81949 - Court Emportur	15,38
81051 - Date Entry Operator 1	10.48
01052 - Data Entry Operator II	11.99
01050 - Dispatcher, Motor Vehicle 01078 - Document Preparation Clark	13.06
01090 - Duplicating Hacking Operator	12.25
Ulli - General Clerk 1	12.25
01112 - General Clerk II	10.29
01113 - General Clark III	11.26
01120 - Housing Referral Assistant	12.32
D1141 - Massenger Courier	17.15
01191 - Order Clerk I	10.12
11192 - Order Clerk II	11.23 12.25
Dizel - Personnel Assistant (Employment) I	14.25
1167 - Personnel Resistant (Employment) II	16.90
1263 - Personnel Assistant (Employment) III	16.48
1270 - Production Control Clerk	18.34
31280 - Receptionist	3.67
01290 - Rental Clerk	11.10
1300 - Schaduler, Maintenance	13.75
1311 - Secretary I	13,75
312 - Sacretary II	15.38
313 - Secrotary III	17.15
1926 - Service Order Dispatcher	11.57
1410 - Supply Tachnician	17.67
420 - Survey Worker	15.26
531 - Travel Clerk I	11.61
597 - Trivel Clark II	12.57
593 - Travel Clerk III	13,44
1611 - Word Processor I	12.25
612 - Word Processor II	13,75
1613 - Word Processor III	15.30
00 - Automotive Service Occupations	
5005 - Automobila Body Repairer, Fiberglass 5010 - Automotive Electrician	13.34
5040 - Automotive Glass Installer	13.06
5075 - Automotive Girs Installer	12.10
5110 - Hobils Equipment Services	12.10
5130 - Hotor Equipment Hetal Machanic	8.59 13.06
5160 - Hotor Equipment Metal Worker	13.06
190 - Motor Vehicle Machanic	13.06
220 - Hotox Vehicle Machanic Helper	13.06
250 - Mator Vehicle Upholstery Worker	12.10
280 - Motor Vahicle Wrecker	12.10
5110 - Painter, Automotive	12.10
5340 - Radiator Repair Specialist	12.10
370 - Tire Repairer	7.81
100 - Transmission Repair Specialist	12.10
- Foud Preparation And Sprvice Occupations	44.40
DIO - Baker	10.47
941 - Cook I	9.54
M2 - Cook II	11.78
070 - Distresher	7.25
130 - Food Service Worker	7.78
210 - Heat Cutter	11.86
260 - Haiter/Waitress	7.59
- Furniture Haintenance And Repair Occupations	1139

1 of 7

2/17/2011 2:53 PM

EXHIBIT A"