RECEIVED 1 BERMAN O'CONNOR & MANN OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY Suite 503, Bank of Guam Bldg. PROCUREMENT APPEALS 111 Chalan Santo Papa 12/31/2012 Hagåtña, Guam 96910 DAM DPM BY: R. FULD Telephone No.: (671) 477-2778 Facsimile No.: (671) 477-4366 FILE NO OPA-PA:\_\_\\(\frac{12-016}{}{} 4 Attorneys for: 5 PACIFIĆ DATA SYSTEMS, INC. 6 OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY 8 PROCUREMENT APPEALS 9 IN THE APPEAL OF OPA Docket No. OPA PA 12-016 TELEGUAM HOLDINGS, LLC AND ITS 10 WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARIES, PDS RESPONSE TO GTA REQUEST GTA TELECOM, LLC; GTA SERVICES, 11 FOR EXTENSION OF HEARING LLC; AND PULSE MOBILE LLC, 12 Appellants. 13 IN THE APPEAL OF OPA Docket No. OPA PA 12-017 14 PACIFIC DATA SYSTEMS, INC., 15 Appellant. 16 IN THE APPEAL OF OPA Docket No. OPA PA 12-018 17 TELEGUAM HOLDINGS LLC and its WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARIES, 18 GTA TELECOM LLC; GTA SERVICES 19 LLC and PULSE MOBILE LLC, 20 Appellants. 21 22 Pacific Data Systems, Inc. ("PDS") does not oppose GTA's request for the postponement of the hearing in OPA-PA-12-018. January 29, 30 and 31 are acceptable 23 dates for the hearing in that appeal. If that appeal is rescheduled, PDS requests that the 24 hearing brief not be due until January 28. 25 26 Regarding OPA-PA-12-016, undersigned counsel for PDS inadvertently stated at the Scheduling Conference on that appeal that PDS would waive the hearing. 27 28

H:\Christine\BRM\Day\OPA-PA-12-016 Cons 017 & 018\Response re GTA Ext Req.doc

In the Appeal of Teleguam Holdings, LLC et al.; OPA PA 12-016 In the Appeal of Pacific Data Systems, Inc.; OPA PA 12-017 In the Appeal of Teleguam Holdings LLC et al.; OPA PA 12-018 PDS Response to GTA Request for Extension of Hearing

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

Undersigned counsel was not aware the question would arise since GTA had

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

previously requested a hearing on that appeal. This mistake was promptly corrected, and PDS requests that the Hearing Officer confirm that there will be a hearing in OPA-PA-12-016. If there is to be a hearing, PDS does not object to the postponement of that hearing to January 29, 30 or 31. If that hearing is rescheduled, PDS requests that the hearing brief not be due until January 28. Regarding OPA-PA-12-017, PDS does request that the hearing on that

appeal be heard as scheduled on either January 9, 10 or 11. A review of the briefs already filed in that appeal should reveal that there are no disputed factual issues, and all that is required is a legal analysis of the undisputed facts. PDS did waive its right to a hearing in that appeal, although GTA did request a hearing. The Hearing Officer has discretion not to hold a hearing if the Hearing Officer believes a hearing is unnecessary. In any event, PDS objects to the postponement of the hearing in OPA-PA-12-017. PDS notes that a decision favorable to it in OPA-PA-12-017 would moot out the other two pending appeals, thus saving everyone a great deal of time.

Finally, PDS requests that there be an oral argument on its pending Motion to Dismiss OPA-PA-12-016. Oral argument could be held at the Hearing Officer's convenience on January 9, 10 or 11. PDS estimates that oral argument would take substantially less than one hour. If the Hearing Officer rules in favor of PDS on that Motion, a hearing on the merits of OPA-PA-12-016 can be avoided.

DATED this day of December, 2012.

**BERMAN O'CONNOR & MANN** 

Attorneys for PACIFIC DATA SYSTEMS, INC.