1	FISHER & ASSOCIATES	OFFICE OF SERVICE STREET	
2	Salte for De La Corte Building	SEP 26 2011	
3	Il Magania, Gadin 20210		
4		188 Sel Francis Julian Mar	
5	Representing; Interested Party, Guam Medical Referra	al Services	
		DUDI IC ACCOLD VILLAND VILLAND	
6	11	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY HAGÅTÑA, GUAM	
7	7 IN RE AN APPEAL FILED	ODA DA 11 016	
8	³ 19 AUGUST 2011	OPA-PA-11-016	
9	9 OPA-PA-11-016	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S MOTION	
10		FILED 19 SEPTEMBER 2011	
11)		
)		
12			
13	*** Opposition ***		
14			
15	COMES NOW interested party Guam Medical Referral Service (GMRS), by and through		
16	counsel the law firm of Fisher & Associates, by attorney Thomas J. Fisher, Esq., to Oppose a		
17		·	
	Motion filed by Appellant on 19 September 201	11	
	*** Memorandum of I	Points and Authority ***	
L8	*** Memorandum of I On August 3 rd , 2011, APM exercised its		
L8 L9	*** Memorandum of I On August 3 rd , 2011, APM exercised its agency the process of a solicitation of award of	Points and Authority *** right under Guam law to protest to a purchasing	
L8 L9	*** Memorandum of I On August 3 rd , 2011, APM exercised its agency the process of a solicitation of award of	Points and Authority *** right under Guam law to protest to a purchasing	
18 19 20	*** Memorandum of I On August 3 rd , 2011, APM exercised its agency the process of a solicitation of award of	Points and Authority *** right under Guam law to protest to a purchasing a contract. APM specifically stated it was ng of my documents." AR at tab 2, p. 2. APM	
19 20 21	*** Memorandum of I On August 3 rd , 2011, APM exercised its agency the process of a solicitation of award of "hereby protesting the process and the tamperi protested no particular irregularity in the "proc	Points and Authority *** right under Guam law to protest to a purchasing a contract. APM specifically stated it was ng of my documents." AR at tab 2, p. 2. APM	
18 19 20	*** Memorandum of I On August 3 rd , 2011, APM exercised its agency the process of a solicitation of award of "hereby protesting the process and the tamperi protested no particular irregularity in the "proc	Points and Authority *** right under Guam law to protest to a purchasing a contract. APM specifically stated it was ng of my documents." AR at tab 2, p. 2. APM sess" but voiced a generalized dissatisfaction. In to the Office of the Public Auditor. It is the	

By its Motion APM now complains that there was something wrong with the procedure of inviting only acceptable technical offers into Phase II of this multi-step process (see APM Motion at p.3), wonders how he could not be considered as potentially acceptable (id at p.4), and seems to allege a Sunshine Act violation. Id p.5.

These issues were not raised in APM's protest to the Agency and are not properly before the Office of Public Accountability on appeal. Additionally, they are now untimely since well over 14 days have elapsed since APM knew or should have known of a basis for protest. See 5 Guam Code Ann. §4525(a)

WHEREFORE Interested Party Guam Medical Referral Service asks that the Office of Public Accountability deny APM's Motion.

FISHER & ASSOCIATES

Thomas J. Fisher, Esq.

For Guam Medical Referral Service