

OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY

Doris Flores Brooks, CPA, CGFM Public Auditor

PROCUREMENT APPEALS

IN THE APPEAL OF,	APPEAL NO: OPA-PA-11-012
JOETEN DEVELOPMENT, INC.)) DECIGION
Appellant) DECISION)
~)

I. INTRODUCTION

This is the Decision of the Public Auditor for an appeal filed on July 6, 2011, by JOETEN DEVELOPMENT, INC., (Hereafter referred to as "JDI") regarding the GENERAL SERVICES AGENCY, GOVERNMENT OF GUAM's (Hereafter referred to as "GSA") June 10, 2011 denial of JDI's May 25, 2011, protest concerning GSA's May 4, 2011 cancellation of GSA Bid Invitation No. GSA-047-11 (Lease of Office Space) (Hereafter referred to as "IFB"). The Public Auditor holds that GSA's May 4, 2011 cancellation of the IFB violates 5 G.C.A. §5225 because it was not made in accordance with Guam Procurement Regulations. Accordingly, JDI's appeal is hereby GRANTED.

II. FINDINGS OF FACT

The Public Auditor in reaching this Decision has considered and incorporates herein the procurement record and all documents submitted by the parties pursuant to JDI's August 19, 2011 Hearing Waiver. Based on the aforementioned record in this matter, the Public Auditor makes the following findings of fact:

- 1. On or about August 10, 2001, JDI leased Lot No. 5223-5A-1-2, Barrigada, Guam, complete with a building, to PRICEMART, INC., a Delaware Corporation, for a period of ten (10) years expiring on August 9, 2011.
- 2. On or about April 12, 2005, The DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE & TAXATION, GOVERNMENT OF GUAM (Hereafter Referred to as "DRT") entered into a sublease agreement with PSMT Guam Inc., a Guam corporation, for Lot No. 5223-5A-1-2, Barrigada, Guam, and the building upon said lot, for a one (1) year term with an option to extend the sublease, on an annual basis, for additional twelve (12) month terms, and stating that DRT is prohibited from extending the lease term after August 9, 2011. Further, said sublease allowed DRT to hold over as a tenant on a month to month basis after August 9, 2011.
- 3. DRT is currently occupying Lot No. 5223-5A-1-2, Barrigada, Guam, and its building and was appropriated, in DRT's approved Fiscal Year 2011 budget, a total amount of one-million-two-hundred-forty-four-thousand-and eight-hundred-forty-nine-dollars (\$1,244,849) per year, or one-hundred-three-thousand-seven-hundred-thirty-seven-dollars-and-forty-two-cents (\$103,737.42) per month for office space rental. Further, DRT is requesting the amount of one-million-seventy-five-thousand-four-hundred-twenty-dollars (\$1,075,420) per year, or eighty-

Article 1, Term of Lease, page 1, Net Lease Agreement, Exhibit A, Sublease for Commercial Space filed on September 2, 2011 by DRT.

 $^{^2}$ Paragraphs 2 and 4, pages 1 and 5 respectively, Sublease for Commercial Space filed on September 2, 2011 by DRT.

³ Paragraph 12, page 9, Id.

⁴ Summary of DRT, Government of Guam Fiscal Year 2011 Budget, Program Budget Digest, Exhibit A, JDI's Memorandum of Points and Authorities Re: JDI's Appeal filed on August 29, 2011.

nine-thousand, six-hundred-eighteen-dollars-and-thirty-three-cents (\$89,618.33) per month, for its office rental in its FY2012 Budget.⁵

- 4. On February 2, 2011, DRT encumbered the amount of one-hundred-thousand-dollars (\$100,000) from DRT's FY2011 Budget, for one-month of Office Rental from the contractor awarded the IFB.
- 5. On March 29, 2011, GSA issued the IFB which solicited bids from contractors to lease office space for the DRT for a lease term of three (3) years with a renewal option for two (2) years or upon availability of funds.⁷ The Bid Invitation for the IFB was also published on March 29, 2011 in the *Marianas Variety*.⁸
- 6. The IFB states, in relevant part: "The Chief Procurement Officer shall have the authority to award, cancel, or reject bids, in whole or in part for any one or more items if she determines it is in the public interest."
- 7. The deadline for bidders to submit bids in response to the IFB was at 10:00 a.m. on April 14, $2011.^{10}$
- 8. Only JDI submitted a bid in response to the IFB. The bid was officially opened at 10:00 a.m. on April 14, 2011, and JDI bid 53,300 square feet of office space for 12 months at

⁵ DRT Summary of Base Operational Appropriation, Exhibit B, Id.

⁶ DRT Requisition #Q110800042, TAB 14, Procurement Record filed on July 13,

^{2011,} and page 2, Government of Guam's Brief filed on August 29, 2011.

⁷ Invitation for Bid, page 1, and Lease Term, Lease of Office Space, page 26, IFB, TAB 4, Procurement Record filed on July 13, 2011.

Advertisement, TAB 15, Id.

⁹ Paragraph 22, General Terms and Conditions, page 20, Id.

¹⁰ Invitation for Bid, page 1, Id.

eighty-one-thousand-five-hundred-forty-nine-dollars (\$81,549) per month for a total of nine-hundred-seventy-eight-thousand-five-hundred-eighty-eight-dollars (\$978,588) per year. 11

- 9. On May 2, 2011, DRT informed GSA that DRT was canceling the IFB in its entirety due to insufficient funds and that DRT will be submitting a new solicitation at a later date. 12
- 10. On May 4, 2011 GSA evaluated JDI's bid and determined that based on the May 2, 2011 letter from DRT, it is recommended that the IFB be cancelled in its entirety due to insufficient funds and that it be re-bid at a later date, and said recommendation was approved by GSA's Chief Procurement Officer on May 11, 2011.¹³
- 11. On or about May 11, 2011, GSA issued a Bid Status canceling the IFB in its entirety due to insufficient funds to be re-bid at a later date.¹⁴
- 12. On or about May 13, 2011, JDI received the aforementioned Bid Status canceling the ${\rm IFB}.^{15}$
- 13. On May 25, 2011, twelve (12) days after JDI received notice of the cancellation of the IFB, JDI protested the cancellation of the IFB. ¹⁶ JDI argued that: (1) DRT has sufficient funds to award the IFB contract; (2) The IFB was canceled after JDI's bid was publicly opened

¹¹ GSA Bid Analysis dated May 4, 2011, TAB 11, Procurement Record filed on July 13, 2011.

¹² Letter dated May 2, 2011 from Marie M. Benito, Acting Director, DRT, to Chief Procurement Officer, RE: Cancellation - IFB, TAB 10, Id.

 $^{^{13}}$ GSA Memorandum dated May 4, 2011 from GSA Buyer I Paul T. Llanes to GSA Chief Procurement Officer Claudia S. Acfalle, TAB 11, Id.

¹⁴ Bid Status dated May 4, 2011 and approved on May 11, 2011 by GSA Chief Procurement Officer Claudia S. Acfalle, TAB 9, Id.

 $^{^{15}}$ Acknowledgement Copy, Bid Status dated May 4, 2011 approved on May 11, 2011, TAB 9, Id.

 $^{^{16}}$ JDI Protest Letter dated May 25, 2011 received by GSA on May 25, 2011, TAB 1, Id.

causing JDI harm in any future re-bid of the IFB; (3) GSA violated Guam Procurement Law and Regulations by treating JDI in a discriminatory fashion and by not evaluating JDI's bid; (4) GSA violated Guam's Procurement law by failing to evaluate JDI's bid and award the IFB to JDI; and (5) JDI should have been awarded the IFB.¹⁷

- 14. On June 10, 2011, GSA denied JDI's protest because: (1) JDI's bid far exceeded the amount certified by the Department; and (2) JDI was not treated in a discriminatory manner; and JDI is welcome to submit a new bid when the IFB is re-issued.¹⁸
 - 15. On June 21, 2011, JDI received GSA's denial of its May 25, 2011 protest. 19
- 16. On July 6, 2011, fifteen (15) days after receiving GSA's denial of its protest, JDI filed this appeal. 20
- 17. On August 10, 2011, PRICESMART INC., assigned all its rights and interest in its sublease with DRT for Lot No. 5223-5A-1-2, Barrigada, Guam and its building to JDI.²¹

III. ANALYSIS

The main issue presented by this appeal is whether GSA's May 11, 2011 cancellation of the IFB complied with Guam's Procurement Laws and Regulations. Pursuant to 5 G.C.A. 5703, the Public Auditor shall review GSA's cancellation of the IFB and ancillary issues concerning said cancellation *de novo*.

¹⁷ Page 2, Id.

Letter dated June 10, 2011 from GSA Chief Procurement Officer Claudia S. Acfalle to John Terlaje, Esq. re Bid Protest, TAB 2, Id.

 $^{^{19}}$ GSA FAX Confirmation Letter addressed to JDI dated June 22, 2011, TAB 2, Id.

²⁰ Notice of Appeal filed on July 6, 2011.

²¹ Assignment Agreement filed on September 2, 2011 by DRT.

A. GSA's Cancellation of the IFB Violated 5 G.C.A. §5225

GSA's cancellation of the IFB after opening of the bids is not in accordance with Guam Procurement Regulations. Guam's Procurement Law states that an Invitation for Bids (IFB) may be cancelled or any or all bids may be rejected in whole or in part, as may be specified in the solicitation, when it is in the best interests of the Territory in accordance with regulations. 5 G.C.A. §5225. The first step of the analysis is to determine if GSA cancelled the bid in accordance with the IFB's terms and conditions. Here, as stated above, the IFB gives GSA's Chief Procurement Officer the authority to: "award, cancel, or reject bids, in whole or in part for any one or more items if she determines it is in the public interest."22 Thus, the Public Auditor finds that the IFB's terms and conditions give GSA's Chief Procurement Officer the authority to cancel the IFB, if she determines that in doing so, it is in the public interest. However, it was not in the public's interest to cancel the IFB due to insufficient funding. As stated above, DRT received approval in DRT's Fiscal Year 2011 budget, a total amount of one-million-twohundred-forty-four-thousand-and eight-hundred-forty-nine-dollars (\$1,244,849) per year, or onehundred-three-thousand-seven-hundred-thirty-seven-dollars-and-forty-two-cents (\$103,737.42) per month.²³ Here, JDI's bid price, at nine-hundred-seventy-eight-thousand-five-hundredeighty-eight-dollars (\$978,588) per year or eighty-one-thousand-five-hundred-forty-nine-dollars (\$81,549) per month is lower than DRT's existing monthly and annual rent payments.²⁴ Had GSA awarded JDI the IFB contract in April, 2011, and had DRT used the one-hundred-thousanddollars (\$100,000) it encumbered for the IFB award, to cover the additional rental and movement

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

²¹ 22

Paragraph 22, General Terms and Conditions, page 20, TAB 4, Procurement Record filed on July 13, 2011.

Summary of DRT, Government of Guam Fiscal Year 2011 Budget, Program Budget Digest, Exhibit A, Memorandum of Points and Authorities Re: JDI's Appeal filed on August 29, 2011.

 $^{^{24}}$ GSA Bid Analysis dated May 4, 2011, TAB 11, Procurement Record filed on July 13, 2011.

costs to move into JDI's new office space in May, 2011, DRT would have incurred cost savings of eighty-eight-thousand-seven-hundred-fifty-three-dollars-and-sixty-eight-cents (\$88,753.68) on rental costs for June-September, 2011.²⁵ Thus, the Public Auditor, considering the significant cost savings in rent, in light of the severe financial crisis the Government of Guam is currently facing, finds that the Chief Procurement Officer did not comply with the terms of the IFB, because canceling the IFB is not in the public interest.

3

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The second step of the analysis is to determine whether the cancellation was in accordance with Guam's Procurement Regulations. Guam's Procurement Regulations distinguish between the cancellation of an IFB and the rejection of all bids. A cancellation of an IFB can only occur prior to the opening of the bids. 2 G.A.R., Div. 4, Chap. 3, §3115(d)(1)(B). A rejection of all bids can only occur after the opening of the bids, but prior to award. 2 G.A.R., Div. 3, Chap. 3, §3115(d)(2)(A). There is no question that GSA cancelled the IFB after the opening of the bids. It is important to note that the form used by GSA to cancel the IFB closely follows the language of the two aforementioned regulations, and that the blocks for canceling the IFB were checked instead of the boxes for rejection of all bids. Additionally, a cancellation of the IFB may only occur after the Chief Procurement Officer, the Director of Public Works, or the head of a purchasing agency determined in writing that such action is in the Government of Guam's best interest. 2 G.A.R., Div. 4, Chap. 3, §3115(d)(1)(B) Here, the Bid Status canceling the IFB, although signed by the Chief Procurement Officer, does not comply with this requirement because there is no indication that the cancellation is in the best interest of the Government of Guam. Further, as stated above, due to the significant cost savings offered by JDI's bid, it is not in the best interest of the Government of Guam to cancel the IFB. Thus, the

^{\$103,737.42\$} (Current FY 2011 Appropriated Monthly Rent) - \$81,549 (JDI Monthly Rent) = \$22,188.42. \$22,188.42 (Estimated Cost Savings on Monthly Rent) x 4 (Monthly Rent for June, July, August, September, 2011) = \$88,753.68.

4

1

5 6

8

7

11 12

10

14 15

13

17 18

16

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

46

Public Auditor finds that GSA's cancellation of the IFB after bid opening is not in accordance with Guam Procurement Regulations.

B. GSA Must Evaluate JDI's Bid.

JDI's bid must be evaluated to determine whether it is responsive, and whether the bid's price is fair and reasonable. If only one responsive bid is received in response to an invitation for bid, an award may be made to the single bidder if the procurement officer finds that the price submitted is fair and reasonable and that other prospective bidders had reasonable opportunity to respond, or there is not adequate time for re-solicitation, otherwise the bid may be rejected. 2 G.A.R., Div. 4, Chap. 3, §3102(c)(1). Here, as stated above, only one (1) bid was received in response to the IFB. Further, as stated above, other potential bidders had sixteen (16) days from March 29, 2011, the day the IFB was issued, to April 14, 2011, the bid submission deadline, to prepare and submit their bids. Bidding time is the period of time between the date of distribution of an invitation for bids and the time and date set for receipt of bids and a minimum of fifteen (15) days shall be provided unless a shorter time is deemed necessary for a particular procurement as determined in writing by the procurement officer. 2 G.A.R., Div. 4, Chap. 3, §3109(d). Thus, the Public Auditor finds that other potential bidders had a reasonable opportunity to respond to the IFB. As stated above, DRT's existing sublease, in which JDI is now its landlord, expired on August 9, 2011 and DRT is currently holding over on Lot No. 5223-5A-1-2, Barrigada, Guam, and its building as a month-to-month tenant. The Public Auditor finds that there is no adequate time for re-solicitation because under the hold over provisions of the existing sublease, DRT is paying twenty-two-thousand-one-hundred-eighty-eight-dollars-andforty-two-cents (\$22,188.42) more per month than it would if it simply accepted JDI's bid. This extra monthly cost must be eliminated as the Government of Guam is currently in an austere financial condition. Therefore, the Public Auditor finds that GSA must comply with 2 G.A.R., Div. 4, Chap. 3, §3102(c)(1) by evaluating JDI's bid to determine if it is responsive and if JDI's bid price is fair and reasonable.

1.6 1.7

C. GSA's May 11, 2011 Cancellation of the IFB is Vacated.

GSA's May 11, 2011 cancellation of the IFB violates Guam Procurement Law and Regulations as stated above and must be vacated. If prior to award it is determined that a solicitation of a contract is in violation of law, then the solicitation shall be revised to comply with the law. 5 G.C.A. §5451(b) and 2 G.A.R., Div. 4, Chap. 9, §9105(a) (2). The Public Auditor hereby revises the solicitation to comply with the law by vacating GSA's May 11, 2011 cancellation of the IFB.

IV. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing the Public Auditor hereby determines the following:

- 1. GSA's May 11, 2011 cancellation of the IFB violated 5 G.C.A. §5225 because GSA violated the terms of the IFB by canceling the IFB when such act was not in the public interest due to JDI's bid price being lower than the monthly rent DRT is currently paying, and because a cancellation of an IFB can only occur prior to the opening of the bids as required by 2 G.A.R., Div. 4, Chap. 3, §3115(d)(1)(B), and finally, because the Chief Procurement Officer did not make the written determination finding that canceling the IFB was in the best interest of the Government of Guam as required by 2 G.A.R., Div. 4, Chap. 3, §3115(d)(1)(B).
- 2. GSA must comply with 2 G.A.R., Div. 4, Chap. 3, §3102(c)(1) by evaluating JDI's bid to determine if it is responsive and if JDI's bid price is fair and reasonable. GSA must complete the following no later than 5:00 p.m. on or before September 30, 2011.
 - 3. GSA's May 11, 2011 cancellation of the IFB is hereby VACATED.
 - 4. JDI's Appeal is hereby GRANTED.
- 5. JDI is hereby awarded, pursuant to 5 G.C.A. §5425(h)(2), JDI's reasonable costs incurred in connection with JDI's May 25, 2011 Protest, excluding JDI's attorney's fees, because, as JDI was the only bidder, JDI had a reasonable likelihood of being awarded the contract but for GSA's reckless violation of 5 G.C.A. §5225. GSA may object to JDI's cost demand by filing the appropriate motion with the Public Auditor no later than fifteen (15) days after JDI submits such cost demand to GSA.

This is a Final Administrative Decision. The Parties are hereby informed of their right to appeal from a Decision by the Public Auditor to the Superior Court of Guam, in accordance with Part D of Article 9, of 5 G.C.A. within fourteen (14) days after receipt of a Final Administrative Decision. 5 G.C.A. §5481(a).

A copy of this Decision shall be provided to the parties and their respective attorneys, in accordance with 5 G.C.A. §5702, and shall be made available for review on the OPA Website www.guamopa.org.

DATED this 27th day of September, 2011.

DORIS FLORES BROOKS, CPA, CGFM PUBLIC AUDITOR



To:

Mr. Timothy McLaughlin, Esq. Assistant AG and Legal Counsel for DRT

Ms. Claudia S. Acfalle Chief Procurement Officer, GSA

Mr. John C. Terlaje Legal Counsel for Appellant – Joeten Development, Inc. From:

Doris Flores Brooks, CPA, CGFM Public Auditor

OPA Procurement Appeals Suite 401 DNA Bldg. 238 Archbishop Flores St. Hagatna, Guam 96910

Agency:		F	ages	11 (Including cover)	
CC:	All Media	Γ	Date:	September 27, 2011	
Fax:	OAG: 472-2493 (for I GSA: 472-4217 (for C LOJCT: 472-8896 (for J	GSA) C	Point of Contact Ios.	Tel: 475-0390 x 211 (Anne Camacho Fax: 472-7951	
Re:	Appeal No. OPA-PA-11-012: DECISION Note: Action Required by GSA-DRT by Sept. 30, 2011: See Item no. 2, pg. 9				
In grand Article	Note: Action Requir	ed by GSA-DRT by Se	ept. 30,	2011: See Item no. 2, pg. 9	

Please acknowledge receipt of this transmittal by re-sending this cover page along with your firm or agency's receipt stamp, date, and initials of receiver. Thank you.

Anne Camacho – acamacho@guamopa.org

This facsimile transmission and accompanying documents may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient of this fax transmission, please call our office and notify us immediately. Do not distribute or disclose the contents to anyone. Thank you.