

OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY

Doris Flores Brooks, CPA, CGFM

Public Auditor

PROCUREMENT APPEALS

IN THE MATTER OF,) PROTEST NO: OPA-PP-11-008
SANFORD TECHNOLOGY GROUP, LLC) PROTEST DECISION
Protestor) }

1.4

I. INTRODUCTION

This is the Decision of the Public Auditor for a Protest filed, pursuant to 5 G.C.A. §5425A, on May 27, 2011 by SANFORD TECHNOLOGY GROUP, LLC. (Hereafter referred to as "STG") regarding the DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, Government of Guam (Hereafter referred to as "DOE") regarding DOE-IFB-006-2011 (Laptops and Mobile Computer Labs). The Public Auditor holds that DOE violated 5 G.C.A. §5212(g) by requiring the bidder awarded the contract to furnish a performance bond for one hundred percent (100%) of the contract prices as security for the faithful performance and proper fulfillment of the contract. Further, the Public Auditor holds that STG's and DOE's proposed mutual agreement is unacceptable because it removes the IFB's requirement for a bid security in violation of 5 G.C.A. §5212(b). Accordingly, STG's protest is GRANTED and STG's and DOE's proposed mutual agreement is hereby DENIED.

II. FINDINGS OF FACT

The Public Auditor in reaching this Decision has considered and incorporates herein the procurement record, all documents submitted by the parties, and all arguments made during the June 9, 2011 hearing on STG's protest. Anthony R. Camacho, Esq. served as the Office of Public Accountability's Hearing Officer for this protest, and the Public Auditor makes the

- 1.4

- 1. On or about May 11, 2011, DOE issued the IFB. The IFB was DOE's solicitation for the purchase of laptop computer systems situated on mobile computer labs necessary to support teaching and learning in public school classrooms and to purchase laptops for other programs and purposes.²
- 2. The IFB contract is to be funded using monies from the 2009 American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (Hereafter referred to as "ARRA") pursuant to U.S. Department of Education Grant Award S394A090055/CFDA#84.394A.³
- 3. The IFB required, in relevant part, that the successful bidder will be required to furnish a performance bond on either a Government Standard Form PB-1, standby irrevocable Letter of Credit or Certified Check or Cashier's Check payable to the Treasurer of Guam issued by any of the local Banks or Bonding Institutions in the amount equal to one hundred percent (100%) of the contract prices as security for the faithful performance and proper fulfillment of the contract.⁴
- 4. The IFB also required in relevant part, that the bidders submit bids for: (a) A minimum of thirteen (13) Mobile Labs each lab containing thirty (30) laptops for a minimum

Paragraph 1.1, IFB Timeline, page 2, IFB, Exhibit 2, Procurement Record filed on June 3, 2011.

Paragraph 2.1, Gateway to Success-Indefinite Quantity of Laptops and the Purchase of Mobile Computer Labs, page 3, Id.

³ Paragraph 2.2.10. Requirements for all Solicitations funded by ARRA, page 11, Id.

⁴ Paragraph 2.5.4.3., Performance Bond Requirement, page 16, Id.

total of three-hundred-ninety (390) laptops;⁵ (b) One-thousand-seven-hundred-thirty-five (1,735) Teacher Laptops;⁶

- 5. Bid opening was originally scheduled for June 6, 2011.⁷ However, the IFB was amended and bid opening was rescheduled to June 27, 2011.⁸
- 6. On May 27, 2011, STG filed a protest with the Public Auditor, pursuant to 5 G.C.A. §5425A, alleging that paragraph 2.5.4.3 of the IFB violates 5 G.C.A. §5212(g) because it requires a performance bond in the amount of one-hundred-percent (100%) of the contract price.

III. ANALYSIS

The Public Auditor has the jurisdiction to hear STG's Protest. Persons aggrieved in connection with DOE contract awards or solicitations involving ARRA funds, in whole or in part, shall submit their protests to the Public Auditor. 5 G.C.A. §5425A(a). Further, if the protest is not resolved by mutual agreement, the Public Auditor shall issue a decision, in writing no more than ten (10) working days of receipt of the protest. Id. Here, STG's protest was filed with the Office of Public Accountability on May 27, 2011. The Public Auditor finds, as set forth above, that the IFB is based in whole or in part on ARRA funds. Further, the parties were not able to resolve the protest by mutual agreement as will be described below. Thus, the Public Auditor must issue a decision to resolve STG's Protest no later than ten (10) working days after

Paragraph 2.1.2. Purchase Description, Item A, page 4, Id.

[®] Id, Item B, page 5.

⁷ Paragraph 1.1. Invitation for Bid Timeline, page 2, Id.

Statement made by Rebecca A. Perez, Esq., Attorney for DOE, Hearing Re

STG Protest, filed on May 27, 2011.

 May 27, 2011, which expires on June 13, 2011.

A. The IFB Violates 5 G.C.A. §5212(g).

The IFB violates Guam Procurement Law. Generally, the bid security that shall be held until complete delivery of the supplies or services by the successful bidder is deemed to be satisfactory to adequately protect the best interest of the government of Guam from default, and thus, no separate performance bond shall be required of the successful bidder on a contract for supplies and services. 5 G.C.A. §5212(g). Here, as stated above, the IFB required, in relevant part, that the successful bidder will be required to furnish a performance bond in an amount equal to one hundred percent (100%) of the contract price as security for the faithful performance and proper fulfillment of the contract. The Public Auditor finds that this IFB provision clearly violates Guam's Procurement Law because it requires a separate performance bond in addition to the IFB's required bid security.

DOE's Procurement Regulations concerning performance bonds should not be followed to the extent they conflict with Guam's Procurement Law. Where DOE's Superintendent determines to require a performance bond, she shall determine that amount that will adequately protect DOE. Chapter III, §3.9.3.4.2, DOE Procurement Regulations. Further, DOE's Superintendent can require a performance bond in the amount of one-hundred percent (100%) of the contract price if the contract is in excess of twenty-five-thousand-dollars (\$25,000). Chapter III, §3.2.5, and Chapter V, §5.3.1.1, DOE Procurement Regulations. Thus, pursuant to DOE's

Paragraph 2.5.4.3., Performance Bond Requirement, page 16, IFB, Exhibit 2, Procurement Record filed on June 3, 2011.

Pursuant 5 G.C.A. §5130(a) and §5131, DOE is authorized to promulgate its own Procurement Regulations.

procurement regulations, DOE can require a performance bond in the amount of one-hundred-percent (100%) of the contract price separate and apart from any required bid security.

However, these regulations clearly conflict with 5 G.C.A. §5212(g)'s prohibition against a separate performance bond. The Public Auditor must resolve this conflict to determine whether Guam Procurement Law or DOE's Procurement Regulations control this issue. Whenever Guam procurement law applies to an agency's procurement activities and such agency's procurement regulations conflict with Guam procurement law, such agency's procurement activities must satisfy the requirements of Guam's Procurement Law and not the conflicting agency procurement regulation. *Guam Imaging Consultants, Inc., and RADS v. Guam Memorial Hospital Authority*, 2004 Guam 15, ¶41 (Supreme Court of Guam). Thus, the Public Auditor resolves this conflict by finding that 5 G.C.A. §5212(g) controls this issue and not DOE

Procurement Regulations to the extent they conflict with the aforementioned Procurement Law.

B. The Parties Proposed Mutual Agreement Violates Guam's Procurement Law.

DOE and STG proposed to resolve the protest by amending the IFB. Specifically, DOE, on June 8, 2011 issued IFB Amendment No. 2, removing IFB paragraph 2.5.4 in its entirety. 12 The aforementioned paragraph included section 2.5.4.2. which included a bid guarantee requirement stating that the bidders were required to submit a Bid Guarantee Bond or standby irrevocable Letter of Credit or Certified Check in the same bid envelope to be held by the Government pending award in the amount of fifteen-percent (15%) of the bidder's highest total bid offer. However, Guam's Procurement Law mandates that bid security is required for all competitive sealed bidding for the procurement of supplies or services when the total price is estimated to exceed twenty-five-thousand-dollars (\$25,000). 5 G.C.A. §5212(a). As stated

 $^{^{13}}$ Submission of Proposed Resolution filed on June 8, 2011.

Procurement Record filed on June 3, 2011.

above, DOE through the IFB is seeking to purchase at least: (a) A minimum of thirteen (13) Mobile Labs each lab containing thirty (30) laptops for a minimum total of three-hundred-ninety (390) laptops; ¹⁴ and (b) One-thousand-seven-hundred-thirty-five (1,735) Teacher Laptops; ¹⁵ The Public Auditor finds that the reasonable estimated cost of these computers exceed the amount twenty-five-thousand-dollars (\$25,000). Thus, the Public Auditor is unable to accept the proposed resolution of the parties as the deletion of section 2.5.4.2 of the IFB violates the bid security requirements mandated by 5 G.C.A. §5212(a).

C. DOE must amend the IFB to Comply with Guam Procurement Law.

DOE must amend the IFB to comply with Guam Procurement Law. The Public Auditor May resolve a protest by amending the solicitation. 5 G.C.A. §5425A(a)(1). As stated above, the IFB violates Guam Procurement because it required a performance bond separate from the bid security and because the parties' attempted mutual agreement deleted the IFB's requirement for bid security. The Public Auditor finds that DOE must amend the IFB by deleting the IFB's requirement for a performance bond separate from the bid security, and by reinstating the IFB's requirements for bid security in conformance with 5 G.C.A. §5212(a), (b), and (g).

IV. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, the Public Auditor hereby determines the following:

- 1. Paragraph 2.5.4.3 IFB violates 5 G.C.A. §5212(g) because it requires a performance bond in the amount of one-hundred-percent (100%) of the contract price separate from the bid security.
- 2. The parties' proposed mutual agreement violates 5 G.C.A. §5212(a)and (b) because it removes the required bid security from the IFB.

 $^{^{14}}$ Paragraph 2.1.2. Purchase Description, Item A, page 4, Id.

¹⁵ Id, Item B, page 5.

- 3. DOE shall amend the IFB to conform to the requirements of 5 G.C.A. §5212(a)(b), and (g) no later than 5:00 p.m. on June 14, 2011.
- 4. STG is hereby denied its reasonable costs, pursuant to 5 G.C.A. §5425(h) (2), excluding attorney's fees, incurred in connection with its May 27, 2011, as there is no evidence that DOE willfully or recklessly violated 5 G.C.A. §5212(a)(b), and (g). Indeed, as set forth above, DOE could have reasonably been following its procurement regulations. The Public Auditor notes that DOE's procurement regulations were promulgated on or about August 19, 1994 and encourages DOE to amend them so that they do not conflict with applicable Guam Procurement Law.
 - 5. STG's Protest is GRANTED.

This is a Final Administrative Decision. Pursuant to 5 G.C.A. §5425A(b), this Decision is final and conclusive with no right of appeal or judicial review.

A copy of this Decision shall be provided to the parties and their respective attorneys, in accordance with 5 G.C.A. §5425A(a), and shall be made available for review on the OPA Website www.guamopa.org.

DATED this 10th day of June, 2011.

DORIS' FLORES BROOKS, CPA, CGFM PUBLIC AUDITOR



To: Mr. Jehan'Ad G. Martinez, Esq., From: Doris Flores Brooks, CPA, CGFM Blair Sterling Johnson & Martinez, Legal **Public Auditor** Counsel for Sanford Technology Group, **OPA Procurement Appeals** LLC Suite 401 DNA Bldg. 238 Archbishop Flores St. Ms. Rebecca Perez, Esq., Hagatna, Guam 96910 Legal Counsel Department of Education Cc: Interested Party: c/o Ms. Jacqueline Taitano Terlaje, Esq. Pages 8 (Including cover) Agency: All Media June 10, 2011 Date: GDOE: 472-5003 or 472-5001 Point of Tel: 475-0390 x 211 (Anne Camacho) Fax: BSJ&M: 472-4290 Contact Fax: 472-7951 LOJTT: 648-9002 Nos. Protest No. OPA-PP-11-008 DECISION Re: (filed pursuant to P. L. 31-12) □ Urgent X For Review ☐ Please Comment X Please Reply ☐ Please Recycle Comments: See attachment for reference. Please acknowledge receipt of this transmittal by re-sending this cover page along with your firm or agency's receipt stamp, date, and initials of receiver. Thank you. Anne Camacho - acamacho @guamopa.org

This facsimile transmission and accompanying documents may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient of this fax transmission, please call our office and notify us immediately. Do not distribute or disclose the contents to anyone. Thank you.