1	SHIMIZU CANTO & FISHER ATTORNEYS AT LAW	PROCESS OF STREET
2	De La Corte Building, Suite 101 167 East Marine Corps Drive	
3	Hagåtña, Guam 96910 Phone (671) 472-1131 Fax (671) 472-2886	5.43 AM
4	Attorneys for Latte Treatment Center, Inc.	\$ \$ 1.7 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
5		
6	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC AUDITOR	
7	PROCUREMENT APPEAL	
	IN THE APPEAL OF LATTE TREATMENT	Docket No. OPA-PA-08-008
8	CENTER, INC.	
9	Procurement Appeal No. OPA-AP-06-003	APPELLANT'S BRIEF REGARDING REMEDIES
10		
11	OPA-PA-08-008	
12		
13		
14	NOW COMES APPELLANT Latte Treatmen	t Center and submits a brief on available
15	remedies. Appellant asserts that it has demonstrated widespread non-compliance with	
16	procurement laws on the part of the Agency Department of Mental Health and Social Services	
17	Where there is such non-compliance, the Public Auditor should void the award. 5 Guam Code	
18	Ann. §5452 provides	
19	"(a) If after an award it is determined that a solicitation or award of a contract is in	
20	violation of law, then: (1) if the person awarded the contract has not acted fraudulently or	
21	in bad faith:	
	(i) the contract may be ratified and affirmed, provided it is determined that doing so is in the best interests of the Territory; or	
22	(ii) the contract may be terminated and the person awarded the contract shall be compensated for the actual expenses	
23	reasonably incurred under the contract, plus a reasonable profit,	
24	prior to the termination. (2) if the person awarded the contract has acted fraudulently or in bad faith:	
25	(i) the contract may be declared null and void; or	
	(ii) the contract may be ratified and affir	med it such action is

in the best interests of the Territory, without prejudice to the Territory's rights to such damages as may be appropriate.
Government Employees). Facts relevant to this determination include the following"

In this case it is abundantly clear that the award may not be maintained if for no other reason than that no certification of compliance with procurement law was ever done. Beyond this, the Public Auditor should consider the Agency's serial publishing and withdrawal of requests for proposals without explanation; the Awardee's furnishing of a gift to an Agency employee and the dubious responsibility of the Awardee.

Based upon the record, the Public Auditor should declare the contract terminated and would be acting well within her discretion to declare it null and void.

Mr. Thomas J. Fisher, Esq.

Attorney for Latte Treatment Center, Inc.