	1	LUJAN AGUIGUI & PEREZ LLP Attorneys at Law	RECEIVED OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC AUDITOR		
	2	DNA Building, Suite 300 238 Archbishop Flores Street	PROCUREMENT APPEALS		
	3	Hagåtña, Guam 96910	OCT 14 2008 TIME: 4:35P/		
	4	Telephone (671) 477-8064/5 Facsimile (671) 477-5297	BY: AC FILE No. OPA-PA OF - 10		
	5	Attorneys for Guam Housing and	1100 Not Office 1		
	6	Urban Renewal Authority	DYMY YO A KIDYMOD		
	7	OFFICE OF THE	PUBLIC AUDITOR		
	8	TERRITO	RY OF GUAM		
	9	IN THE APPEAL OF,	APPEAL NO: OPA-PA-08-009		
	10	CAPTAIN, HUTAPEA & ASSOCIATES,	SUBMISSION OF EVALUATIONS SHEET,		
	11	INC.	EVALUATION PROPOSALS AND VENDOR INFORMATION		
	12	Appellant.			
	13	The Guam Housing and Urban Renewal Authority, in accordance with the October 10, 2008, Office of the Public Auditor's Order Re. Production of Selection Committee Evaluation, Scoring Sheet, and Recommendations, hereby submits the following documents:			
	14				
	15				
	16				
	17	Memorandum re: Notice of Intent to	Award.		
	18	2. Overall Evaluation Sheet.			
	19	3. Proposal Evaluation Sheets from ea	ch Evaluation Committee Member.		
	20	4. Individual Vendor Information.			
	21				
	22		LUJAN AGUIGUI & PEREZ LLP		
_	23				
=	24	В	y: ANTHONY C. PEREZ, ESQ.		
Constant of the Constant of th	25		Attorneys for QHURA		
ORIGINAL	26	G-0009-108/252-98/ACP/mms			
\subseteq	27	O GOOD TOOLEDE PORTEON FIRMING			
	28				

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing Submission of Evaluations sheet, Evaluation Proposals and Vendor Information was filed with the Office of Public Auditor at Suite 401, DNA Building, 238 Archbishop Flores Street, Hagåtña, Guam on October 14, 2008. I caused a copy to be served upon the following by facsimile:

W. Nicolas Captain, MAI, CRE, FRICS President Siska S. Hutapea, MAI, MRE Vice President CAPTAIN, HUTAPEA & ASSOCIATES 101 Chalan Santo Pap, Suite 201

Hagåtña, Guam 96910 Facsimile: 472-1820 Kevin J. Fowler, Esq. **DOOLEY, ROBERTS & FOWLER, LLP**865 S. Marine Corps Drive
Orlean Pacific Plaza, Suite 201
Tamuning, Guam 96911
Facsimile: 646-1223

Janalynn Cruz-Damian, Esq. CALVO & CLARK, LLP 259 Martyr Street, Suite 100 Hagåtña, Guam 96910 Facsimile: 646-9403

Executed October 14, 2008, in Hagåtña, Guam.

ANTHONY C. PEREZ, ESO



Guam Housing and Urban Renewal Authority Aturidat Ginima' Yan Rinueban Suidat Guahan 117 Bien Venida Avenue, Sinajana, Guam 96910 Phones: (671) 477-9851 · Fax: (671) 472-7565 · TTY: (671) 472-3701



April 18, 2008

MEMORANDUM

Board of Commissioners TO:

Governor of Guam FROM FELIX P. CAMACHO

Executive Director

SUBJECT: RFP# GHURA-RP&E-08-002 - Guam Comprehensive Housing Study

MICHAEL W. CRUZ, M.D. Lt. Governor of Guam

RONALD S. De GUZMAN Executive Director

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

The selection committee for the above subject has reviewed all proposals submitted and selected PCR Environmental Inc, to provide professional services to conduct the Guam Comprehensive Housing Study 2008.

BENNY A. PINAULA Deputy Director

A total of two (2) companies submitted proposal packets. They are:

RICARDO A. CALVO

Acting Chairman

Name of Company

Evaluation Ranking

Evaluation Score

ANNIE L. PAULINO

Acting Vice Chairperson

PCR Environmental, Inc. Captain, Hutapea & Assoc. 1 2 466 429

ANNABELLE M. DANCEL Member

FRANCESCA S. TYDINGCO

Selection Committee members include:

Mr. Mike Duenas - Chief Planner - RP&E Div, GHURA

ALFREDO P. CARMONA Member Mr. Ronald Lujan – Manager, CD Div., GHURA

Ms. Nora Camacho-Planner III, RP&E Div, GHURA

LOLITA C. MENO Resident Member

Mr. Albert Perez - Chief Economist, Bureau of Statistics and Plans

Mr. Arnold Jose - Principal Broker, Jose Realty

Based on the selection committee's evaluation of the proposals, the committee recommends that a Notice of Intend to Award be given to PCR Environmental, Inc.

Total cost, as indicated in the attached price proposal, is \$345,611.00. This study is funded by the Community Development Block Grant and the HOME Grant. The contractual period shall be for one hundred eighty (180) calendar days.

RONALD S. DE GUZMAN Executive Director

Attachments: Overall Evaluation Sheet Price Proposal

GHURA: REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS – OVERALL EVALUATION SHEET

WILL STORY OF THE STORY

RFP #: GHURA-RP&E-08-002

Date: March 20, 2008

(2)

			EVALUATO	RS		TOTAI	1 KANIN	~
FIRM/CO, NAME	Michael J. Duenas	Ronald A. Lujan	Nora K. Camacho	Albert Perez	Arnold Jose	SCORE	3	
Captain, Hutapea & Associates	59	96	89	95	90	429	2	
PCR Environmental, Inc.	83	92	98	98	95	466	1	

Evaluation Committee Members:

Michael J. Duenas

Ronald Lujan

Nora K. Camacho

Albert Perez

Arnold Iose

RFP#:GHURA-RPE-08-002 EVALUATION

1		
Evaluator:	ARNOLD (, JOSE	OFFEROR NAME:
	(Print Name)	

GHURA

Proposal Evaluation RFP #: GHURA-RP&E-08-002

PROPOSAL EVALUATION CRITERIA: Submitted proposals will be evaluated on the basis of

PH:	the following criteria, with each item being given a weight (in parentheses):		
i	Scope of Work. (35pts max)	<u>პ5</u>	
	REMARKS: THE PROPESAL IS ASSOCIATELY THOROUGH AND THE METHYDOLOGY AND APPROACH TO PERCEDENT THE REGULARD SERVICES WITHIN THE SLOPE OF WORK IS TREMENDANS		
ii.	The expertise, experience and availability of personnel for each task to be completed within the specified time frame. (35pts max)	30	1
	REMARKS: AN EXTREMELY QUALIFIED AND MORE IMPORTANTLY EXPERIENCED AND DYNAMIC TEAM.		
iii.	The Proposer's demonstrated past record of performance (20pts max)	20	
	REMARKS: BASED ON THE INFORMATION PROVIDED THEN PEREORMANCE RECORD IS COMMENDABLE		
iv.	The Proposer's capacity to perform the work within a prescribed time frame. (10pts max) REMARKS: THE PROPOSER'S CONFIDENCE LEVEL IS REMARKABLY HIGH WITH THERE STATEMENT IT THERE	10	
	15 No REASON WHY THE STUDY CAN'T PSE COMPETED IN 180 DAYS" IS COMPETED TOTAL:	95	
to c req nec	The proposals, which have a reasonable chance of being selected for award, will be consider in the competitive range (score of 80 points or higher) and will be asked to participate in negotiate discuss technical and price factors so as to ensure a mutual understanding of both GHURA's equirements and the offerors' proposals, unless GHURA determines that there is no need to hold egotiations and award is made based on initial proposals received. The contract will be awarded to the responsible offeror whose proposal is most advantaged the price and other factors considered. Award will not necessarily be made to the lowest offeror.		
Eva	valuator: ARNOW C. 5556 Offeror: PCR		
Sig	gnature: ARNOW C. 555E Offeror: PCR Date: 3/17/08		
	Proposal Evaluat	ion Sheet	

-,			/	
Evaluator:	ARNOLD	<u>(.</u>	JOSE_	
	(Print Na			_

OFFEROR NAME : CATTAIN , 144T APEN & ASSOCIATES

GHURA

Proposal Evaluation RFP #: GHURA-RP&E-08-002

the following chieffa, with each field boiling groot, a way and the following chieffa, with each field boiling groot, a way and the following chieffa, with each field boiling groot, a way and the field groot
i. The completeness of the proposal, particularly the methodology and approach to be followed in performing the required services within the Scope of Work. (35pts max)
REMARKS: The proposal's metholology and approach 15 quite complete
ii. The expertise, experience and availability of personnel for each task to be completed within the specified time frame. (35pts max)
REMARKS: THE EXPERTISE AND EXPERIENCE IS UNQUESTIONABLE BUT IN UNCERNED ON THE AVAILABILITY & F PERSONNEN FOR SUCH A HACE TASK
iii. The Proposer's demonstrated past record of performance (20pts max)
REMARKS: Proposer's past record of performance Speaks FOR 173FLF
iv. The Proposer's capacity to perform the work within a prescribed time frame.(10pts max)
REMARKS: 1 AM UNCLEAR ON THE PRPOSERS (APACITY TO COMPLETE THIS TASK WITH THE TIME PROSE
TOTAL: 90
The proposals, which have a reasonable chance of being selected for award, will be considered to be in the competitive range (score of 80 points or higher) and will be asked to participate in negotiations to discuss technical and price factors so as to ensure a mutual understanding of both GHURA 's requirements and the offerors' proposals, unless GHURA determines that there is no need to hold negotiations and award is made based on initial proposals received. The contract will be awarded to the responsible offeror whose proposal is most advantageous, with price and other factors considered. Award will not necessarily be made to the lowest offeror.
Evaluator: ARNOLD (°. J8SE Offeror: CAPTAIN, INSTAPED * ASS. Signature: Date: 3/17/08
Signatoro.

-valuator: ALBERT M PEREZ	OFFEROR NAME: CAPEALH
(Drint Name)	

GHURA

Proposal Evaluation RFP #: GHURA-RP&E-08-002

•		
i.	The completeness of the proposal, particularly the methodology and approach to be followed in performing the required services within the Scope of Work. (35pts max)	<u> 32</u>
	REMARKS: Lach defailed work plan tochedules & omitted sio's of and wandard & remaining 5 local ofaff.	
	The expertise, experience and availability of personnel for each task to completed within the specified time frame. (35pts max)	be <u>34</u>
	REMARKS: no clear computer modeling explineres	
iii.	The Proposer's demonstrated past record of performance (20pts max) REMARKS: Well justified supported.	_20
iv.	The Proposer's capacity to perform the work within a prescribed time frame.(10pts max) REMARKS: see (i) above. Uncufain	_9
		TOTAL: <u>95</u>
to c req neg	The proposals, which have a reasonable chance of being selected for award in the competitive range (score of 80 points or higher) and will be asked to particular technical and price factors so as to ensure a mutual understanding of build uirements and the offerors' proposals, unless GHURA determines that there is potiations and award is made based on initial proposals received. The contract will be awarded to the responsible offeror whose proposal is a price and other factors considered. Award will not necessarily be made to the	oth GHURA's no need to hold most advantageous,
Eva Sig	nature: ALBERT M. PEREZ Offeror: CAPTA Offeror: 3/20/0	2N

		TO A
	ALBERT M. PEREZ	OFFEROR NAME: PCP
Evaluator:	740,010	
	(Print Name)	

GHURA

Proposal Evaluation RFP #: GHURA-RP&E-08-002

REMARKS: Use through to make the personal very detailed time personal to reach task to be completed within the specified time frame. (35pts max) REMARKS: All principal's qualifications provided. III. The Proposer's demonstrated past record of performance (20pts max) REMARKS: Well justified fargefortd. IV. The Proposer's capacity to perform the work within a prescribed time frame. (10pts max) REMARKS: Subcentrated (ashs became appearance) The proposals, which have a reasonable chance of being selected for award, will be considered to discuss technical and price factors so as to ensure a mutual understanding of both GHURA's requirements and the offerors' proposals, unless GHURA determines that there is no need to hold negotiations and award is made based on initial proposals received. The contract will be awarded to the responsible offeror whose proposal is most advantageous, with price and other factors considered. Award will not necessarily be made to the lowest offeror. Evaluator: ARBERT M. PERET Offeror. PCR Signature: Date: 3/W/ RE Date: 3/W/	THE TOHOW	_	
i. The expertise, experience and availability of personnel for each task to be completed within the specified time frame. (35pts max) REMARKS: All promopal's qualifications provided iii. The Proposer's demonstrated past record of performance (20pts max) REMARKS: well fushfield purported. iv. The Proposer's capacity to perform the work within a prescribed time frame. (10pts max) REMARKS: Subcontractor (with Grown experience within a prescribed time frame. (10pts max) REMARKS: Subcontractor (with Grown experience within a prescribed time frame. (10pts max) Total: 99 The proposals, which have a reasonable chance of being selected for award, will be considered to be in the competitive range (score of 80 points or higher) and will be asked to participate in negotiations to discuss technical and price factors so as to ensure a mutual understanding of both GHURA's requirements and the offerors' proposals, unless GHURA determines that there is no need to hold negotiations and award is made based on initial proposals received. The contract will be awarded to the responsible offeror whose proposal is most advantageous, with price and other factors considered. Award will not necessarily be made to the lowest offeror. Evaluator: Print Name) Signature: Date: \$1/20/ AC	approac Scope o	h to be followed in performing the required services within the f Work. (35pts max)	"
REMARKS: Acc principals gualifications provided iii. The Proposer's demonstrated past record of performance (20pts max) REMARKS: Well justified preparted iv. The Proposer's capacity to perform the work within a prescribed time frame. (10pts max) REMARKS: Subcentrater (auts Gran experiment will be considered to in the competitive range (score of 80 points or higher) and will be asked to participate in negotiations to discuss technical and price factors so as to ensure a mutual understanding of both GHURA's requirements and the offerors' proposals, unless GHURA determines that there is no need to hold negotiations and award is made based on initial proposals received. The contract will be awarded to the responsible offeror whose proposal is most advantageous, with price and other factors considered. Award will not necessarily be made to the lowest offeror. Evaluator: PERET Offeror: PCR Signature: Date: 3/24/84	REMARKS 	: Very thorough + complete proposed, very iled time pehedule t work plan	.
iii. The Proposer's demonstrated past record of performance (20pts max) REMARKS: well justified supported iv. The Proposer's capacity to perform the work within a prescribed time frame. (10pts max) REMARKS: Subcontractor (where Evans experiment which may effect thirdly darring be product) The proposals, which have a reasonable chance of being selected for award, will be considered to the competitive range (score of 80 points or higher) and will be asked to participate in negotiations to discuss technical and price factors so as to ensure a mutual understanding of both GHURA's requirements and the offerors' proposals, unless GHURA determines that there is no need to hold negotiations and award is made based on initial proposals received. The contract will be awarded to the responsible offeror whose proposal is most advantageous, with price and other factors considered. Award will not necessarily be made to the lowest offeror. Evaluator: ARBERT N. PERET Offeror: PCR [Print Name] Signature: Date: 3/24/ AC	complet	ed within the specified time frame. (35pts max)	o be
REMARKS: well justified supported. iv. The Proposer's capacity to perform the work within a prescribed time frame. (10pts max) REMARKS: Subconfractor (autha Euroun experience untich may affect family deliving a product.) The proposals, which have a reasonable chance of being selected for award, will be considered to be in the competitive range (score of 80 points or higher) and will be asked to participate in negotiations to discuss technical and price factors so as to ensure a mutual understanding of both GHURA's requirements and the offerors' proposals, unless GHURA determines that there is no need to hold negotiations and award is made based on initial proposals received. The contract will be awarded to the responsible offeror whose proposal is most advantageous, with price and other factors considered. Award will not necessarily be made to the lowest offeror. Evaluator: ARBERT IN PERET Offeror: PCR [Print Name] Signature: Date: 3/24/ SP	REMARKS	: All principal's qualifications provided	- -
TOTAL: 99 The proposals, which have a reasonable chance of being selected for award, will be considered to discuss technical and price factors so as to ensure a mutual understanding of both GHURA's requirements and the offerors' proposals, unless GHURA determines that there is no need to hold negotiations and award is made based on initial proposals received. The contract will be awarded to the responsible offeror whose proposal is most advantageous, with price and other factors considered. Award will not necessarily be made to the lowest offeror. Evaluator: ARBERT M. PERET Offeror: PCR [Print Name] Signature: Date: 3/20/ AF			_ <u>20</u>
The proposals, which have a reasonable chance of being selected for award, will be considered to discuss technical and price factors so as to ensure a mutual understanding of both GHURA's requirements and the offerors' proposals, unless GHURA determines that there is no need to hold negotiations and award is made based on initial proposals received. The contract will be awarded to the responsible offeror whose proposal is most advantageous, with price and other factors considered. Award will not necessarily be made to the lowest offeror. Evaluator: ALBERT M. PEREZ Offeror: Offeror: Date: 3/20/24 Date: 3/20/24 Date: 3/20/24	REMARK!	3: Well justified / sloppedied	- - -
The proposals, which have a reasonable chance of being selected for award, will be considered to be in the competitive range (score of 80 points or higher) and will be asked to participate in negotiations to discuss technical and price factors so as to ensure a mutual understanding of both GHURA's requirements and the offerors' proposals, unless GHURA determines that there is no need to hold negotiations and award is made based on initial proposals received. The contract will be awarded to the responsible offeror whose proposal is most advantageous, with price and other factors considered. Award will not necessarily be made to the lowest offeror. Evaluator: ABBET M. PEREZ Offeror: PCR [Print Name] Signature: Date: 3/20/ QP	prescrit	ped time frame.(10pts max)	
be in the competitive range (score of 80 points of higher) and will be discuss technical and price factors so as to ensure a mutual understanding of both GHURA's requirements and the offerors' proposals, unless GHURA determines that there is no need to hold negotiations and award is made based on initial proposals received. The contract will be awarded to the responsible offeror whose proposal is most advantageous, with price and other factors considered. Award will not necessarily be made to the lowest offeror. Evaluator: ABERT M. PEREZ Offeror: PCR (Print Name) Signature: Date: 3/20/ AC			- total: <u>98</u>
	be in the cor to discuss to requirement negotiations	echnical and price factors so as to ensure a mutual understanding of the sand the offerors' proposals, unless GHURA determines that there is and award is made based on initial proposals received.	ooth GHURA's s no need to hold s most advantageous,
	Evaluator:	ACBERT M. PEREZ Offeror: PCR	
	Signature:	allran Ten Date: 3/20/ &	<u> </u>
Dronged Evaluation S			Proposal Evaluation Shee

Evaluator: Non K. CAMACHO (Print Name)

OFFEROR NAME: CAPTAIN, HATAPER & ASSOC

GHURA

Proposal Evaluation RFP #: GHURA-RP&E-08-002

tr	e following chieffa, with each from Bowly 9.	
:	The completeness of the proposal, particularly the methodology and	
- 1.	approach to be followed in performing the required services within the Scope of Work. (35pts max)	
	REMARKS: APPRIACH AND METTODOLOGY APPEARED TO BE MOLE	
	OF A TEMPLATE APPLICATION, NOT ALL METHODS APPLY TO	
	OF A TEMPLATE APPLICATION, NOT ALL METHODS APPLY TO GUAM - ALSO WOULD LIKE TO SEE BETTER DATA CILLECTION (PRIMARY) UNSURE ABOUT PRESENTATIONS, MEETINGS, BENCHMARKS; UNSURE ABOUT PRESENTATIONS, MEETINGS, BENCHMARKS;	
ii.	completed within the specified time frame. (35pts max)	
	REMARKS: EXPERIENCE AND EXPERTISE IS CLEAR BUT SMALL STAFF FOR MAGNITUDE OF PROJECT. NOT SURE ABOUT PRIMARY DATA COLLECTION. ALSO, CREDENTIALS FOR SUPPORT	
	ABOUT PRIMARY DATA COLLECTION. ALSO, CREDENTIALS FOR SOFTER.	
iii.	The Proposer's demonstrated past record of performance (20pts max)	
	REMARKS: DEFINITE EXPENIENCE IN HOUSING RELATED	
	TOPICS AND OTHER STVOIES	
iv.	The Proposer's capacity to perform the work within a prescribed time frame.(10pts max)	
	REMARKS: ALTHOUGH & TIMELINE WAS PRESENTED A SPECIFIC WORK DLAN WAS NOT PRESENTED	
		_
	TOTAL:	
to rea ne	The proposals, which have a reasonable chance of being selected for award, will be considered to in the competitive range (score of 80 points or higher) and will be asked to participate in negotiations discuss technical and price factors so as to ensure a mutual understanding of both GHURA's quirements and the offerors' proposals, unless GHURA determines that there is no need to hold gotiations and award is made based on initial proposals received. The contract will be awarded to the responsible offeror whose proposal is most advantageous, h price and other factors considered. Award will not necessarily be made to the lowest offeror.	:o ;
E۱	aluator: Nora K. CAMAHO Offeror: CAPVAIN, HUTAPER & Association of the Company of	
Si	aluator: Nona K. CAMAHO Offeror: CAPTAIN, HUTAPER DATES OF MASSOCIATION Date: 1-17-08	

Evaluator: NORA CAMACHO
(Print Name)

OFFEROR NAME: PCR ENVIRONMENTAL INC

GHURA

Proposal Evaluation RFP #: GHURA-RP&E-08-002

••			
i	The completeness of the proposal, particularly the methodology and		
-11	approach to be followed in performing the required services within the	35	
	Scope of Work. (35pts max)		•
	- WETTHOUGHT		
	REMARKS: VERY THORIVUH EXPLANATION OF METHODOLOGY- CLEAR, WITH BENCHMARKS MYGS ALREDY PLANNED.	• •	
	INFORMATION THEY PLAN TO SEEK IS RELAVENT & USEFUL		
ii.	The expertise, experience and availability of personnel for each task to completed within the specified time frame. (35pts max)	be <u>33</u>	/
	completed within the specified time name: (Sopie many		
	REMARKS: WHILE SMS IS HIGHLY CAPABLE & EXPERIENCES, PCK DID NOT INDICATE AS MUCH ENOWLEDGE & EXPERIENCE IN		
	DID NOT INDICATE AS MUCH ENOWLEDGE & EXPERIENCE IN		
	THE HONSING MARKET		
		20	
iii.	The Proposer's demonstrated past record of performance (20pts max)		
	REMARKS: HAVE REVIEWED SMS PRODUCTS AND QUITE IMPRESSED WITH INFORMATION		
	IMPRESSED WITH INTORMITION		
		_	
iv.	The Proposer's capacity to perform the work within a	10	
	prescribed time frame.(10pts max)	<u></u>	•
	REMARKS: THE TIMELINE PRESENTED CONVEYS A SENSE OF IMPORTANCE TO THE TIME AND ALSO A DILEGENT WORK TEAM.		
	INDORTANCE TO THE TIME MID ALSO A DILEGENT WORK TEAM.	•	
		TOTAL: 98	
		101AL:	
	The proposals, which have a reasonable chance of being selected for award	L will be considered to	
1		Sibate in negotianone	
~~~	uiroments and the offerors' proposals, unless ununa determines that there is	no need to hold	
nec			
		lowest offeror.	
wit	The contract will be awarded to the responsible cherciful whose proposition price and other factors considered. Award will not necessarily be made to the	(OWCO) Onc.o.	
	$\sum_{n} p$		
Εv	aluator: NORA (AMACHO Offeror: / CA		
	nature: North Camacho Offeror: PCR  Offeror: PCR  Date: Manch 17		
	Date: MARCH 17	2008	
Sig	nature:	<del></del>	
	r		
			_

Evaluator: Michael July (Print Name)

OFFEROR NAME CAPTAIN, HUT a pea & ISA

## **GHURA**

## Proposal Evaluation RFP #: GHURA-RP&E-08-002

	le following criteria, mar outer and	
	The completeness of the proposal, particularly the methodology and approach to be followed in performing the required services within the Scope of Work. (35pts max)  REMARKS: Did not a dovess development of a housing modelin sufficient detail.	<u>18</u>
ii.	The expertise, experience and availability of personnel for each task to completed within the specified time frame. (35pts max)  REMARKS: Not enough discussion on development of facing mode and other celated experiences  and expertise of personnel.	be <u>18</u>
iii.	The Proposer's demonstrated past record of performance (20pts max)  REMARKS:	<u>/8</u>
iv.	The Proposer's capacity to perform the work within a prescribed time frame. (10pts max)  REMARKS: Not Sure if 30 days to develop,  Agus in made   will be sufficient	<u>5</u>
		TOTAL: <u>59</u>
to re	The proposals, which have a reasonable chance of being selected for award in the competitive range (score of 80 points or higher) and will be asked to particus discuss technical and price factors so as to ensure a mutual understanding of the quirements and the offerors' proposals, unless <b>GHURA</b> determines that there is egotiations and award is made based on initial proposals received.  The contract will be awarded to the responsible offeror whose proposal is the price and other factors considered. Award will not necessarily be made to the	ooth GHURA's no need to hold most advantageous,
E ¹	gnature: Michael T. Wenay Offeror: Capain H.  Offeror: Capain H.  Date: 3/18/0	Lutapea + Assoc

Evaluator: Michael J. Menas

OFFEROR NAME: PCR environmental

## **GHURA**

## Proposal Evaluation RFP #: GHURA-RP&E-08-002

the following critical may care
i. The completeness of the proposal, particularly the methodology and
approach to be followed in performing the required services that a service service services that a service service services that a service service service services that a service service service service services that a service ser
REMARKS: Would like to see more discussion on the differences between Guan and Handii housing
market.
ii. The expertise, experience and availability of personnel for each task to be completed within the specified time frame. (35pts max)
REMARKS: Would like to see personnel with more experience with Guerr's haising market
iii. The Proposer's demonstrated past record of performance (20pts max)
REMARKS: Impressive record However, I wanted to see more discussion on how their experience:
iv. The Proposer's capacity to perform the work within a
prescribed time frame. (10pts max)  REMARKS: They identified the importance of  completing the survey's needed to build
the housing model
TOTAL: 63
The proposals, which have a reasonable chance of being selected for award, will be considered to be in the competitive range (score of 80 points or higher) and will be asked to participate in negotiations to discuss technical and price factors so as to ensure a mutual understanding of both GHURA's requirements and the offerors' proposals, unless GHURA determines that there is no need to hold negotiations and award is made based on initial proposals received.  The contract will be awarded to the responsible offeror whose proposal is most advantageous, with price and other factors considered. Award will not necessarily be made to the lowest offeror.
Evaluator: Michael J. Duenas Offeror: PCR environmental
Signature: Mulin Julia Date: 3/18/08

Evaluator: RINALD LUTAN (Print Name)

OFFEROR NAME: CAPTAIN, HUTARER & ASSRS.

## **GHURA**

### Proposal Evaluation RFP #: GHURA-RP&E-08-002

th	e following criteria, with each item being given a resign, vice	
	The completeness of the proposal, particularly the methodology and	
	approach to be followed in performing the required services within the Scope of Work. (35pts max)  REMARKS: A Visi away of day are renderly a vailable within Their exsociations charles ausone Triffile.  15 Met + 14 The Lelesy eas in To accept Plish.	34_
ii.	The expertise, experience and availability of personnel for each task to	be <u>34</u>
	REMARKS: I believe They have The edge in experience because They dead housin Housen House	10
iii.	The Proposer's demonstrated past record of performance (20pts max)  REMARKS:	<u>. 1.7.                                  </u>
iv.	The Proposer's capacity to perform the work within a prescribed time frame.(10pts max)  REMARKS:	9
		TOTAL: <u>96</u>
to re ne	The proposals, which have a reasonable chance of being selected for awar in the competitive range (score of 80 points or higher) and will be asked to part discuss technical and price factors so as to ensure a mutual understanding of be quirements and the offerors' proposals, unless <b>GHURA</b> determines that there is egotiations and award is made based on initial proposals received.  The contract will be awarded to the responsible offeror whose proposal is the price and other factors considered. Award will not necessarily be made to the	oth GHURA's no need to hold most advantageous,
	valuator: PINALD LUTAW Offeror: GIVIA:N, H	Vigper + Assas.
		Proposal Evaluation Sheet

OFFEROR NAME: PCR ENVIRONMENTAL ANC

## **GHURA**

Proposal Evaluation RFP #: GHURA-RP&E-08-002

í.	The completeness of the proposal, particularly the methodology and	
	approach to be followed in performing the required services within the	33
	Scope of Work. (35pts max)	
	REMARKS:	
••	The expertise, experience and availability of personnel for each task to	
ii.	completed within the specified time frame. (35pts max)	3/
	·	
	REMARKS:	
		19
iii.	The Proposer's demonstrated past record of performance (20pts max)	
	REMARKS:	
	REMARKS:	
	The Despector conscitute perform the work within a	$\mathcal{Q}$
ı۷.	The Proposer's capacity to perform the work within a prescribed time frame.(10pts max)	7
	•	
	REMARKS:	
		91
		TOTAL: 4
	The proposals, which have a reasonable chance of being selected for award	d, will be considered to
be	the competitive range (score of 80 points or higher) and will be asked to part	cipate in negotiations
4	discuss technical and price factors so as to ensure a mutual understanding of buirements and the offerors' proposals, unless GHURA determines that there is	UIII GIIUNA 3
req	refictions and award is made based on initial proposals received.	
	The contract will be awarded to the responsible offeror Whose Droposal Is	most advantageous,
wit	n price and other factors considered. Award will not necessarily be made to the	lowest offeror.
	DAVID DIKTAYI DODGO	Wind Waster She.
Eva	aluator: LONALD VV MA Offeror: MCK PN (Print Name)	viro/40xial, FRE.
		0
Sia	nature: Date: 3/20/0	<u> </u>
5		
	V	



#### RFP# - GHURA- RP&E - 08 - 002

Purpose: Housing Study

NAME OF VENDOR: $\bigcup \circ G$	
VENDOR ADDRESS: 55PA Mangilas Suam 96923	<u> </u>
NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PICKING UP RFP PACKET:	<u></u>
VENDOR PHONE #: 67/ 735 2511	
VENDOR FAX #:671_734.5731_	
COST FOR EACH BID PACKET: \$50	
GHURA Receipt #: 20166	
DATE: 2/2/6	
ONURA P.3.807 CS AGANA, GUAN 98932 PNONE: (671) 477-9851/4 FAX: (671) 472-7585	04TE: 02/25/08 TIME: 09:22:57 GECEIPT : 20165
#AME: UNIMERSITY OF GUAM, SCM1 0 SCM2: 0 RENT 0.00 PROCRAM: RFY 11 CM2 0.00	PEUEIFI + ENIGS
BANY ACCOUNT: 8801-005715   \$EC 0EF	
PAYMENT TIPM RECPTE: OTEN TO 0.60 SECOND STUDY AST PRIN 0.00 COMMENTS: BID FEE FOR MOUSING STUDY AST PRIN 0.00 CASHIER: MGS BID FEE FOR MOUSING STUDY AST INT 0.00 CASHIER: MGS TOTAL: 50.00 CUSTOMER STORAGE TOTAL: 50.00	

### RFP# - GHURA- RP&E - 08 - 002

Purpose: Housing Study

NAME OF VENDOR: Market Research & Development the
VENDOR ADDRESS: 699 Harmon Loop Rd 4512  Bededg Cupy 96929
Dededo Cuam 96929
NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PICKING UP RFP PACKET:
U
VENDOR PHONE #:635-1(2-2
VENDOR FAX #:637. 3850
COST FOR EACH BID PACKET: \$50
GHURA Receipt #: 20157
DATE: 2/20/08

URA
0.80% CS AGANA, GUAM 96932
ONE: (671) 477-9851/4 FAX: (671) 472-7565

WE: MARKET RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT IN C
H1: 0 SSH2: 1T CH0 0.00
ORAM: REV
HK/ACCOUNT: 0601-005715 SEC DEP 0.00
DRESS: PROM NOTE 0.00
DRESS: PROM NOTE 0.00
UTILITY 0.00
DRESS: PROM NOTE 0.00
UTILITY 0.00
DRESS: PROM NOTE 0.00
UTILITY 0.00
UTILITY 0.00
UTILITY 0.00
UNE: CK#9645 DTD 2/20/08 BAD CHK 0.00
UNE: CM#9645 DTD 2/20/08 BAD CHK 0.00
UNISC 50.00

C DEP LIPH RECPTA:

PAYMENT TYPE: CASH: 0 CHECK: 50.00 LESS: 50.00 DUE: 0.00

2110

DATE: 02/20/08 TIME: 15:34:03 RECEIPT # 20157

### RFP# - GHURA- RP&E - 08 - 002

Purpose: Housing Study

NAME OF VENDOR: PCR Environmental, INC.	
VENDOR ADDRESS: ME SUNSET BLVD., BARRIE	SADA, GU
	90913
NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PICKING UP RFP PAC valerie Sabiah	CKET:

VENDOR PHONE #: 473-3560

VENDOR FAX #: 473-3563

COST FOR EACH BID PACKET: \$50

DATE: 2/15/08

GHURA P.O.BOX CS AGANA. PMONE: (671) 477-9	GUAM 96932 851/4 FAX: (671) 472-7565	byáñí	NT RECEIPT
NAME: SSM1: PROGRAM: BANK/ACCOUNT: ADDRESS: UNIT:	PCR ENVIRONMENTAL, INC SSN2: 0 REV 0601-005715	RENT LT CHG SEC DEP RETRO	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
ACCT#: HAP#: HAME: SSN: LOT/BLOCK/TRACT#; MANUAL RECEIPT#:	BFP#-GHURA-RP&E-08-80? 0	PROM MOTE WORK OPD UTILITY BAD CHK MISC COURT CST	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 50.00 0.00
PAYMENT LIPH RECPT: SEC DEP LIPH RECPT: COMMENTS: CASKIER; ROS	: : BID FEE FOR HOUSING STUDY	INTEREST CLEAN UP PET DEP AST PRIN AST INT	0.00 0,00 0.00 0.00 0.00

PAYMENT TYPE CASH: 50,00 CHECK: 0 LESS: 50.00 DUE: 0,00

AATTILAN -

DATE: 02/15/08 TIME: 13:42:10 RECEIPT = 20149

### RFP# - GHURA- RP&E - 08 - 002

Purpose: Housing Study

NAME OF VENDOR: SANKYO PACIFIC INC.
VENDOR ADDRESS: P.O. Box 12787 TAM. GU 96931
NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PICKING UP RFP PACKET:  KAZUHIDE ISAITO
VENDOR PHONE #: <u>646-45-20</u> , 687-173/ (cell-)
VENDOR FAX #:646-5753
COST FOR EACH BID PACKET: \$50
GHURA Receipt #:
DATE: Feb. 14, 2008
PAYMENT RECEIPT

HURA .0.80X CS AGANA. G HONE: (671) 477-98	UAM 96932 51/4 FAX: (671) 472-7565	PAYMENT RECEIPT		GATE: 02/14/08 TIME: 15:30:21 RECEIPT # 20146
AME: SN1: 0 ROGRAM: ANK/ACCOUNT: DDRESS: NJT:	SANKYO PACIFIC INC., SSN2; 0 PEV 0501-005715	RENT 0.00 LT CHG 0.00 SEC DEP 0.00 RETRO 0.00 PROM NOTE 0.00	PAYMENT TYPE;	
CCT#: AP#: AME: SN: OT/BLOCK/TRACT#: ANUAL RECEIPT#:	RFP#-GHURA-RP#E-08-002 0	PROM NOTE 0,00 WORK ORD 0.00 UTILITY 0.00 BAD CHK 0.00 MISC 50.00 COURT CST 0.00 INTEREST 0.00	CASH: 50.00° CHECK: 0 LESS: 50.00 DUE: 0.00	
AYMENT [ĬPĤ RECPT# FC DEP LIPH RECPT# DMMERTS: ASHIFR: YLH	: BIO FEE HOUSING STUDY	OLEAN UP 0,00 PET DEP 0,00 AST PRIN 0,00 AST INT 0,00 TOTAL 50 00	ANOTANES STATES	atous

### RFP# - GHURA- RP&E - 08 - 002

Purpose: Housing Study

	NAME OF VEND	OR: Ja	edva I	Dovelopment, I	ΛC.
	VENDOR ADDRI	ESS: P.O	Box	27747 Gy 96931	
	NAME OF INDIV	IDUAL PI			·
	VENDOR PHONE	E#: <u>64</u> 6	-1714		
	VENDOR FAX #:	646	1715		
	COST FOR EACH	I BID PAC	KET: \$5	0	
	GHURA Receipt #				
	DATE: 2/10/08				
	GUAM 96932 851/4 - FAX: (671) 472-7565		IT RECEIPT		DATE: 02/11 TIME: 00:37 RECEIPT &
AM: ACCOUNT: SS: COOK/TRACT#: ACCOK/TRACT#: NT LIPH RECPI	JAEDRA DEVELOPMENT; INC. SSN2: 0 REV 0601-005715 REP#-GHURA-RP\$E-08-002	RENT LT CHG SEC DEP RETRO MOTE WORK ORD UTILITY BAD CHK MISC COURT EST INTEREST CLEAN UP PET DEP AST PRIN	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	PAYMENT TYPE: CASH: 50.00 CHECK: 0 LESS: 50.00 DUE: 0.00	

50.00

### RFP# - GHURA- RP&E - 08 - 002

Purpose: Housing Study

NAME OF VENDOR: Captains Real Estate
VENDOR ADDRESS: 151 Chalan Santo pape sweet 200-
NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PICKING UP RFP PACKET:
VENDOR PHONE #: 472-1819
VENDOR FAX #: 472-1920
COST FOR EACH BID PACKET: \$50
GHURA Receipt #: 20129

AME: CAPTAINS REAL, ESTATE SN1: 0 SSN2: 0601-005715

AME: O SSN2: 0 SSN2: 0

ANK/ACCOUNT: 0601-005715

DDRESS: HIT: 1FB#-GHURA-RPBE-08-002

IT/BLOCK/TRACT#: 0

NUAL RECEIPT#: 1T/BLOCK/TRACT#: NUAL RECEIPT#: C DEP LIPH RECEIP#: C DEP LIPH RECEIP#: MEENTS: SHIER: ROS

RENT 0.00
LT CHG 0.00
SEC DEP 0.00
RETRO 0.00
PROM NOTE 0.00
WORK ORD 0.00
UTILITY 0.00
MISC 50.00
COURT CST 0.00
INTEREST 0.00
CLEAN UP 0.00
AST PRIN 0.00
AST INT TOTAL: 50.00

PAYMENT RECEIPT

PAYMENT TYPE; CASH; 50,00 CHECK; 0 LESS; 50,00 DUE: 0,00

CHRYSMED STGHAYURE

DATE: 02/06/08 TIME: 11:04:24 RECEIPT # 20129

### RFP# - GHURA- RP&E - 08 - 002

Purpose: Housing Study

NAME OF VENDOR: 195 Rosauce Group
VENDOR ADDRESS: P.O. Box 12073 Tamumy Crim 96931
NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PICKING UP RFP PACKET:
VENDOR PHONE #: 488-2526
VENDOR FAX #: Kpere z IN IO yahoo. con
GHURA Receipt #:
DATE: 2/5/08
RECEIPT Date 19 05 08 x 102178  Received From EDS RESOURCE Group  Address  For DIA FUL RPEE - 08 - 002
ACCOUNT HOW PAID  AMT, OF CASH  AMT, PAID 50 50 CHECK