Suite 401 DNA Building 238 Archbishop Flores St. Hagåtña, Guam 96910



## **FAX**

	Mr. Glenn Leon Go Director Department of Publi		From:	Doris Flores Brooks Guam Public Auditor Office of Public Accountability	
То:	542 North Marine Corps Drive Upper Tumon, Guam 96913 Phone: (671)646-3121/3232 Fax: (671) 649-6178  Mr. Thomas Keeler Ms. Shannon Taitano Assistant Attorney Generals Office of the Attorney General of Guam 590 S. Marine Corps Drive, Suite 706 Tamuning, Guam, 96913 Fax: (671) 472-2493		Pages:	11 (including cover page)	
CC:	Joyce C.H. Tang, Esq. (Attorney for Appellant Core Tech) Civille & Tang, PLLC 330 Hernan Cortez Avenue Suite 200 Hagatna, Guam 96910 Phone: (671) 472-8868/9 Fax: (671) 477-2511		Date:	October 17, 2017	
			Phone: Fax:	(671) 475-0390 x. 208 (671) 472-7951	
Re:	OPA-PA-17-009 No	otice of Receipt of Appeal			
□ Urgent	☐ For Review	☐ Please Comment	✓ Please Rep	ly □ Please Recycle	
Comments:					
Please ackno	wledge receipt of thi	s transmittal by re-sending	his cover page	along with your firm or agency's	
receipt stamp	o, date, and initials of	receiver.			
			Thank	you,	
			Jerrick	c Hernandez	
			Audito	or	
			jherna	ndez@guamopa.com	

This facsimile transmission and accompanying documents may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient of this fax transmission, please call our office and notify us immediately. Do not distribute or disclose the contents to anyone. Thank you.



# OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY Doris Flores Brooks, CPA, CGFM Public Auditor

October 17, 2017

Mr. Glenn Leon Guerrero Director Department of Public Works 542 North Marine Corps Drive Upper Tumon, Guam 96913

VIA FACSIMILE: (671) 649-6178

Re: Notice of Receipt of Appeal – OPA-PA-17-009

Dear Mr. Leon Guerrero,

Please be advised that Core Tech International Corp. (Core Tech) filed an appeal with the Office of Public Accountability (OPA) on October 16, 2017 regarding the Department of Public Works' (DPW) response to Core Tech's protest relative to an Invitation for Bids for the Lease Financing for Design, Renovation, Rehabilitation, Construction and Maintenance of Simon Sanchez High School, Project No.: 730-5-1057-L-YIG. OPA has assigned this appeal case number OPA-PA-17-009.

Immediate action is required of DPW pursuant to the Rules of Procedure for Procurement Appeals, found in Chapter 12 of the Guam Administrative Regulations (GAR). Copies of the rules, the appeal, and all filing deadlines are available at OPA's office and on its website at <a href="https://www.opaguam.org">www.opaguam.org</a>. The notice of appeal filed with OPA is enclosed for your reference.

Please provide the required notice of this appeal to the relative parties with instructions that they should communicate directly with OPA regarding the appeals. You are also responsible for giving notice to the Attorney General or other legal counsel for your agency. Promptly provide OPA with the identities and addresses of interested parties and a formal entry of appearance by your legal counsel.

Pursuant to 2 GAR, Div. 4, Ch. 12, §12104(3), please submit one complete copy of the procurement record for the procurement solicitation above, as outlined in Title 5, Chapter 5, §5249 of the Guam Code Annotated, to OPA by **Tuesday**, **October 24**, **2017**, five work days following receipt of this notice of appeal; and one copy of the Agency Report for each of the procurement solicitations cited above, as outlined in 2 GAR, Div. 4, Chap. 12, §12105, by **Tuesday**, **October 31**, **2017**, ten work days following receipt of this notice of appeal.

When filing all other required documents with our office, please provide one original and two copies to OPA, and serve a copy to Core Tech. In addition, OPA respectfully asks that DPW provide one original and two copies of the procurement record and agency report as the Guam Procurement Law and Regulations require only one copy. The three procurement record copies requested by OPA are distributed as follows: Copy-1: Master File; Copy-2: Public Auditor; and Copy-3: Hearing Officer.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter. Please contact Jerrick Hernandez at 475-0390 ext. 208, or jhernandez@guamopa.com, should you have any questions regarding this notice.

Sincerely,

Rodalyn Gerardo Audit Supervisor

Enclosure: First eight pages of Notice of Appeal – OPA-PA-17-009

Cc: Joyce C.H. Tang, Attorney for Core Tech

1 2 3 4 5 6	Joyce C.H. Tang Leslie A. Travis CIVILLE & TANG PLLC 330 Hernan Cortez Avenue Ste. 200 Hagåtña, Guam 96910 Tel: (671) 472-8868/9 Fax: (671) 477-2511	OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTY BILITY PROCUREMENT APPEALS  DATE: 10.16.17  TIME: 4.44 DAM DPM BY: 17.009  FILE NO OPA-PA: 17.009
7	PROCUI	REMENT APPEAL
8	IN THE OFFICE OF	PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY
9		
10	•	
11	In the Appeal of	DOCKET NO. OPA-PA- 17.009
12		
13	Core Tech International Corp.,	NOTICE OF APPEAL
14	Appellant.	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	ORIG	SINAL

	_
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6

CORE TECH INTERNATIONAL CORP. ("Core Tech") hereby appeals the decision rendered by the Department of Public Works ("DPW"), an agency of the Government of Guam, on September 29, 2017, denying Core Tech's protest filed on September 7, 2017, relating to the Invitation for Bids for the Lease Financing for Design, Renovation, Rehabilitation, Construction and Maintenance of Simon Sanchez High School, Project No. 730-5-1057-L-YIG issued by DPW on July 7, 2017.

#### I. APPELLANT INFORMATION

Name:

Core Tech International Corp.

Address:

388 S. Marine Corps Drive, Suite 400

Tamuning, Guam 96913

For this Appeal, please direct all correspondence to Core Tech's counsel, Joyce C.H. Tang (itang@civilletang.com) and Leslie A. Travis (ltravis@civilletang.com), Civille & Tang, PLLC, 330 Hernan Cortez Ave. Ste. 200, Hagåtña, Guam 96910 (Tel: 671/472-8868; Fax: 671/477-2511).

#### II. APPEAL INFORMATION

A. Purchasing Agency: Department of Public Works

В. Contract No: Not applicable.

C. Date of Contract: Not applicable.

- This appeal is made from DPW's September 29, 2017 denial of Core Tech's D. September 7, 2017 Protest (the "Protest"). See, Protest, Exhibit A attached hereto.
- E. The names of competing bidders are not known to Appellant at this time.

27

28

#### RELEVANT PROCEDURAL HISTORY III.

On July 7, 2017, the Department of Public Works ("DPW") issued an Invitation for Bids for the Lease Financing for Design, Renovation, Rehabilitation, Construction and Maintenance of Simon Sanchez High School, Project No. 730-5-1057-L-YIG (the "IFB"). See, IFB, Exhibit 1 to the Protest, attached as Exhibit A hereto. On July 20, 2017, Core Tech submitted its Second Request for

Information ("RFI") which contained fifty-three (53) questions from Core Tech regarding the IFB, requesting clarification of, among other things, provisions contained in the IFB and contracts required to be included in the IFB. *See, RFI*, Exhibit 2 to the *Protest*, Exhibit A attached as **Exhibit A** hereto. DPW responded to Core Tech' RFI in its *Addendum 6* to the IFB on August 24, 2017. *See, Addendum 6*, Exhibit 3 to the *Protest*, attached as **Exhibit A** hereto.

Core Tech's raised two (2) claims in its Protest. See, Protest, Exhibit A. The first claim concerned DPW's failure of include in the IFB critical contract documents, even after DPW was informed by Core Tech in the RFI that the following critical contract documents were missing: (1) the Formal Contract between the Government of Guam and the awardee of the IFB, (2) Lease Agreement, (3) Maintenance Agreement, (4) Ground Lease, (5) Project Development Agreement, and (6) Financing Documents ("Omitted Contracts"). See, RFI, Exhibit 2 to the Protest, attached as Exhibit A hereto.

The second claim was that DPW's termination of Core Tech was pretextual, and that DPW's interpretation of whether a "record of default" contained in the Instruction to Bidders at Section 9.i requiring the Contractor to submit "Record of Past performance of government contracts including record of default and non-payment of obligations" includes default disputed by the bidder and for which there has not been a final adjudication through Guam courts, including all appeals. See, Addendum 6 at pp. 7-8, Exhibit 3 to the Protest, attached as **Exhibit A** hereto.

DPW's response was that "Record of Default includes but is not limited to written Determinations made by DPW or the Procurement Officer." *Id.* This means that, even a notice of default that is being contested administratively or in the Courts, for which there has not been a final adjudication may be considered by evaluators in their determination of a bidder's competency. As discussed in Core Tech's protest, DPW's novel interpretation of the term "Record of Default" is legally incorrect and extremely prejudicial to bidders' due process rights. In this case – not by coincidence -- DPW timed the issuance of the Notice of Default/Termination of Core Tech in a

separate DPW procurement, the Route 1/Route 8 Intersection Improvements and Agana Bridges Replacement Project No. GU-DAR-T01(001) (the "Agana Bridges Project") within twenty-four (24) hours of issuing Addendum 6 – the Addendum 6 which contained DPW's novel interpretation of the term "Record of Default." *Id.* It is against this factual background that DPW came up with the pretext for trying to disqualify Core Tech on the contrived grounds that Core Tech was in default.

DPW issued its Agency Decision on September 29, 2017, denying Core Tech's protest on grounds of timeliness and the merits; but, nevertheless, agreed to "cancel and revise the IFB" in response to both claims. *See, Agency Decision*, **Exhibit B** attached hereto.

Core Tech hereby appeals DPW's denial of its second claim.

#### IV. STATEMENT OF GROUNDS FOR APPEAL

#### A. Core Tech's Appeal was Timely Filed.

Core Tech timely filed its Protest on September 7, 2017, within fourteen (14) days of receiving *Addendum 6*, when it became aware of the facts giving rise to its protest; thus, the Protest was timely filed and the 5 GCA §5425(g) automatic stay applies.

# B. DPW Incorrectly and Improperly Included a Notice of Default Regarding Claims for Which There Has Not Been Final Administrative or Judicial Adjudication in its Interpretation of "Record of Default."

#### 1. Factual Background

The IFB was issued on July 7, 2017, by DPW pursuant to Public Law 34-19, that was passed requiring DPW to the Department of Public Works ("DPW") to issue an Invitation for Bids for the Lease Financing for Design, Renovation, Rehabilitation, Construction and Maintenance of Simon Sanchez High School, Project No. 730-5-1057-L-YIG. *See, IFB*, Exhibit 1 to the *Protest*, attached as **Exhibit A** hereto. On July 20, 2017, Core Tech submitted its RFI, requesting clarification of, among other things, provisions contained in the IFB and contracts required to be included in the IFB. *See, RFI*, Exhibit 2 to the *Protest*, Exhibit A attached as **Exhibit A** hereto. In Question No. 32 of Core Tech's RFI, Core Tech asked DPW to provide clarification regarding Instruction to Bidders. DPW's response to RFI No. 32 is set forth below:

#### RFI# 32.

The Instructions to Bidders, Section 9.i at p. 11 of the ITB requires the Contractor to submit "Record of past performance of government contracts including record of default and nonpayment of obligations."

a. Please confirm whether "record of default" includes defaults alleged by the of obligations" refers to payments by government that are disputed by the Contractor and for which there has not been a final adjudication through Guam courts, including all

appeals.

#### Answer:

Record of Default includes but is not limited to written Determinations made by DPW or the Procurement Officer. Nonpayment of obligations means payments by Contractor to vendors and subcontractors. All disputes must be disclosed with a statement on the final or pending status.

See, Addendum 6 at pp. 7-8, Exhibit 3 to the Protest, attached as **Exhibit A**.

On August 23, 2017, DPW issued its Notice of Termination/Default of Contract in the Route 1/Route 8 Intersection Improvements and Agana Bridges Replacement Project No. GU-DAR-T01(001)<sup>1</sup>. Less than twenty-four hours later, DPW issued the Addendum, which contained DPW's response to RFI No. 32, with the novel interpretation of "Record of Default." DPW approved and accepted both bridges, and opened them for public use – the Paseo bridge was accepted and opened in July 2014, and the Bank of Guam bridge was accepted and opened on March 18, 2016<sup>2</sup>. More than three (3) years have passed since DPW accepted the Paseo bridge, and seventeen (17) months have passed since DPW accepted the Bank of Guam bridge. Substantial completion was achieved at the latest on March 18, 2016, when the Bank of Guam bridge and connected roadway were opened for public use. The issues regarding the validity of the Notice of Termination and Default, and when substantial completion was achieved on the Agana Bridges Project are important issues that will be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Route 1/Route 8 Project involves the roadway connecting two Hagåtña bridges, one located near Paseo ("the Paseo bridge") and the other near the Bank of Guam building ("the Bank of Guam bridge") (the "Agana Bridges Project")

http://www.guampdn.com/story/news/2016/03/18/hagt-bridge-reopens-after-closed-almost-2-years/81952086/

Id.

addressed in Core Tech's separate appeal of the Notice of Termination/Default of Contract to the Public Auditor.

Despite the fact that Core Tech turned the Agana Bridges Project over to DPW in July 2014 and March 2016, in its August 23, 2017 Notice of Default/Termination, DPW demanded that Core Tech "peacefully surrender and leave the Project site," and threatened Core Tech with criminal prosecution if it did not comply: "[a]ny attempt to act or perform otherwise then as ordered herein shall be construed as being intentionally hostile, and may subject [Core Tech] to criminal prosecution."

Shockingly, Felix Benavente, the Deputy Director who signed the Core Tech Notice of Termination/Default of Contract admitted in a Whatsapp response that he

signed the letter as presented to me by Atty Keeler. I made no amendments. The draft as presented became the final version as I know it. I have nothing to provide re this [Sunshine Act] request. I did not originate this letter, nor did I make notes about it.

See, Whatsapp Messages DPW000125-127, DPW Sunshine Act Production 10.6.2017, attached as **Exhibit C** hereto.

When he was asked if he reviewed any documents in connection with the issuance of the Notice of Termination/Default of Contract, he replied:

No. Just the final draft/letter. I held it for several days wanting to discuss it. Tom advised me that deadline for Surety closing within days. Had to be sent out or DPW/GovGuam will lose opportunity for claims per agreement/contract.

These types of actions by Government officials are so transparently designed to disqualify a bidder that the Government has a dispute with, and wants to punish. The history of the dispute between DPW and Core Tech with respect to the Simon Sanchez procurement is well known to Public Auditor. The timing of the issuance of the Notice of Default/Termination letter and DPW's response to RFI #32 in Addendum 6 demonstrate that DPW Deputy Director Felix Benavente and other individuals working with Mr. Benavente at DPW, acted in a manner to intentionally

discriminate and retaliate against Core Tech for successfully pursuing protests and appeals relating to DPW's numerous violations of Guam Procurement Law in connection with the Simon Sanchez High School RFPs.

#### 2. "Record of Default" Should Not Include Notices of Default.

It is improper for DPW to consider a Notice of Default or Notice of Termination in a bidder's "Record of Default," when there has not been a final administrative and judicial adjudication of the claims, before all appeals have been exhausted, and before the time to file such appeals have expired. Core Tech has demonstrated twice in previous SSHS solicitations that DPW has violated Guam Procurement Law. A bidder's competency should not hinge on DPW's unverified assessment of a bidder's purported wrongdoing. In its September 29, 2017 Agency Decision, DPW indicated that it would cancel and reissue the IFB, and clarify its response to RFI #31. *Agency Decision* at 4.

#### DPW claims that the forthcoming IFB:

will allow bidders to provide information (e.g. date contract signed, scheduled date of completion, disclosure of notices of default, determinations make by a governmental agency, any notices of appeal by the contractor, and provide bidder(s) an opportunity to explain why they believe any notices of default were improperly issued and are being contested and what action the bidder(s) has or intends on taking) concerning any completed or current project.

Id. DPW intends to continue to require bidder disclosure of notices of default in the reissued IFB, and seems to believe that allowing a bidder an opportunity to explain its position on a Notice of Default will resolve the clear impropriety of allowing potential disqualification of a bidder based on an unadjudicated claim. Mere accusations or complaints do not constitute evidence of the conduct charged. Dent v. U.S. Tennis Ass'n, Inc., 08 Civ. 1533(RJD)(VVP), 2008 WL 2483288 at \*3 (E.D.N.Y. June 17, 2008) ("[U]nproved allegations of misconduct are not proof of anything."). Allowing evaluators to consider such notices would effectively punish a bidder for an unproven accusation, and deprive bidders like Core Tech of a fair opportunity to be evaluated equally against other bidders.

Ultimately, consideration of a Notice of Default in a bidder competency evaluation affects Core Tech's procedural due process rights<sup>3</sup>, particularly in light of the fact that particular individuals within DPW have acted intentionally and maliciously in the agency's termination of Core Tech in the Agana Bridges Project.

#### V. RELIEF REQUESTED BY CORE TECH

Core Tech respectfully requests a ruling from the OPA as follows:

- 1. DPW should be required to exclude Notices of Default from bidders' "Record of Default" in a responsibility determination;
- 2. For an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs of this protest and appeal; and
- 3. For such other relief that the OPA may determine is just and proper.
- 4. Core Tech requests a hearing on this matter.

Dated: October 16, 2017

CIVILLE & TANG, PLLC

JOYCE C.H. TANG
Attorneys for Appellant Core Tech Int'l Corp.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Core Tech believes that it has a procedural due process claim under 42 USC § 1983 because it has a property interest in the IFB because the statute calls for an award to the lowest responsible bidder. It has also suffered a deprivation of that property interest because DPW's interpretation of the record of default automatically places Core Tech's position on the list below other bidders. The individuals at DPW who made the decision to use the Notice of Termination/Notice of Default in the evaluation of a bidder's competency acted under color of Guam law with the purpose of depriving Core Tech of its right to a fair opportunity to be evaluated equally amongst the bidders.

### **Broadcast Report**

Date/Time Local ID 1 10-17-2017 6714727951 01:46:28 p.m.

Transmit Header Text Local Name 1

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC AUDITOR

This document: Confirmed (reduced sample and details below)

Document size: 8.5 "x11"

Suite 401 DNA Building 238 Archbishop Flores St. Hagåtña, Guam 96910



## **FAX**

	Mr. Glenn Leon Guerrero Director Department of Public Works	From:	Doris Flores Brooks Gunn Public Auditor Office of Public Accountability	
To:	542 North Marine Curps Drive Upper Tunton, Guam 96913 Phone: (671)646-3121/3232 Pax: (671) 649-6178			
	Mr. Thomas Keeler Ms. Shannon Taitano Assistant Attorney Generals Office of the Attorney General of Guam 590 S. Marine Corps Drive, Suite 706 Tamuning, Guam, 96913 Fax: (671) 472-2493	Pages:	11 (including cover page)	
	Joyce C.H. Tang, Esq. (Attorney for Appellant Core Tech) Civille & Tang, PLLC	Date:	October 17, 2017	
CC:	330 Hernan Cartez Avenue Suite 200 Hugutna, Quan 96910 Phone: (671) 472-8868/9 Fax: (671) 477-2511	Phone: Fax:	(671) 475-0390 x. 208 (671) 472-7951	

Re:	OPA-PA-17-009 Notice of Receipt of Appeal			
□ Urgent	☐ For Review	☐ Please Comment		☐ Please Recycle

#### Comments:

Please acknowledge receipt of this transmittal by re-sending this cover page along with your firm or agency's receipt stamp, date, and initials of receiver.

Thank you, Jerrick Hernandez Auditor

ihernandez@guamopa.com

This facsimile transmission and accompanying documents may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient of this fax transmission, please call our office and notify us immediately. Do not distribute or disclose the contents to anyone. Thank you.

Total Pages Scanned: 11

Total Pages Confirmed: 33

No.	Job	Remote Station	Start Time	Duration	Pages	Line	Mode	Job Type	Results
001	797	649-6178	01:25:12 p.m. 10-17-2017	00:03:47	11/11	1	EC	HS	CP14400
002	797	6714722493	01:25:12 p.m. 10-17-2017	00:13:47	11/11	1	EC	HS	CP2400
003	797	671 4772511	01:25:12 p.m. 10-17-2017	00:02:05	11/11	1	EC	HS	CP33600